### Annual Development Impact Fee Report For the City of Elk Grove For Fiscal Year 2017-18

This report contains information on the City of Elk Grove's development impact fees for Fiscal Year 2017-18. This information is presented to comply with the annual reporting requirements contained in Government Code section 66000 *et seq.*, also known as AB1600. Please note that this annual report is not a budget document; rather, it is compiled to meet reporting requirements. It reports revenues and expenditures for Fiscal Year 2017-18, and planned projects included in the 2018-2023 Capital Improvement Program. The report summarizes revenue and expenditure activity and fund balance since FY2013-14, and provides a 5-year revenue test using oldest revenues first to fund expenditures.

Government Code Section 66006 requires local agencies to submit annual and five-year reports detailing the status of development impact fees. The annual report must be made available to the public within 180 days after the last day of the fiscal year, and must be presented to the public agency (City Council) at least 15 days after it is made available to the public.

This report summarizes the following information for each of the development fee programs:

- 1. A brief description of the fee program.
- 2. Schedule of fees.
- 3. Beginning and ending balances of the fee program.
- 4. Amount of fees collected, interest earned, and transfers/loans.
- 5. Disbursement information (including interfund transfers/loans) and percentage of the project funded by fees.
- 6. A description of each interfund loan along with the date the loan will be repaid and the rate of interest.
- 7. The estimated date when projects will begin if sufficient revenues are available to construct the project.
- 8. Findings for each fee program.

More detailed information on certain elements of the various development impact fee programs is available through other documents such as the Nexus Studies, Capital Improvement Programs and Budgets, the Development Related Fee Booklet, etc. The City does not earmark development impact fees for any specific project as the fees are collected; rather, the fees are applied toward a series of capital improvement projects, such as a future police building, civic center, and other capital facilities. No refunds were made during the fiscal year pursuant to subdivision (e) Section 66001 and any allocation pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 66001.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Citywide Development Impact Fee Programs
Presentation of Information by Fund4
Capital Facilities Fee Funds Overview4
City Administration Facilities (Fund 311)4 Police (Fund 312)7
Corporation Yard (Fund 313)10
Library Facilities (Fund 315)
Transit (Fund 317)
Administration (Fund 319)19 Capital Facilities Fee Schedules21
Affordable Housing Fee Programs Funds
Overview
Affordable Housing Fee (Fund 231)24
Elk Grove Roadway Fee Fund
Overview
Elk Grove Roadway Fee (Fund 328)
Elk Grove Roadway Fee Schedules
CCSD Fire Fee Program Funds Overview
CCSD Fire Fee (Fund 710)
City Administration Fee for the CCSD
Fire Fee (Fund 329 sub-account 02-03)
CCSD Fire Fee Schedule40
Sacramento Transportation Authority Transportation
Mitigation Development Impact Fee Program
Overview
Measure A Traffic Mitigation Fee (Fund 711)43 Measure A Admin Fee (Fund 329 sub-account 02-06)43
Measure A Traffic Mitigation Fee Schedule
-
I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Program Overview
Overview
I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Schedule 49
Planned Area Development Impact Fee Programs
Stonelake Park Fee Fund
Overview51
Stonelake Park Fee (Fund 717)52

Lakeside and Laguna West Park Fee Funds Overview
Laguna Ridge Park Fee Fund
Overview
East Franklin Fee Program Fund
Overview59 East Franklin Park Fee (Fund 707)60
East Franklin Landscape Corridor (Fund 324)
East Franklin Administration (Fund 326)64
East Franklin Fee Schedules66
Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program Funds
Overview68 Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program (Fund 702)69
City Administration Fee for the Eastern Elk Grove
Park Fee (Fund 329 sub-account 02-02)70
Laguna Ridge Specific Plan (LRSP) Supplemental Park Fee Program Funds
Överview
LRSP Supplemental Park Land Fee (Fund 331)
LRSP Supplemental Park Admin Fee (Fund 333)
LRSP Supplemental Park Fee Schedules

### Presentation of Information by Fund

This report presents information by Fund starting with a summary overview of FY2017/18 Revenues and Expenses, FY2017/18 Project disbursements, and Projects for FY2018/19. Following this overview is a more detailed 5-year review of revenues and expenses and fund balance to demonstrate both the collection and use of the fees over time.

The report presents the total FY2017/18 and FY2016/17 Project Amounts funded from impact fees and the percent funded from non-fee sources. The revenue table identifies the age of the total revenue available or available fund balance by demonstrating which fiscal year received the revenue. The 5-year Expenditure table presents the use of revenue collected in the year that funded the project. This demonstrates that the oldest revenue is spent before new funds, also known as the First-in, First-out (FIFO) basis.

A common line item for each City-administered fund is the Interest Earned/Market Gain/Loss. In this particular year, the City did record some unrealized losses but since the investments are Held-to-Maturity, the City does not expect to actually realize any of those losses and expects positive earnings as those investments mature. In this report, for all City-administered, non-administrative impact fee funds, the most recently approved 5-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) activity for each fund has been included to demonstrate the expenditure plan for the upcoming 5-year period.

### Capital Facilities Fee Program Overview (six fee components)

The Capital Facilities Fee (CFF) Program was first adopted by the City Council in 2002 and was updated in 2004, 2009, and most recently in January of 2018 when the fee program nexus study was updated by the City's contracted consultant, Goodwin Consulting. The current 2018 version of the CFF Program Nexus Study includes the following components: City administration facilities, police facilities and equipment, corporation yard (sub-component is corporation yard – animal shelter), library, transit and fee program administration for a total of six components to the CFF program (with animal shelter within the corporation yard component). All revenue previously collected under the consolidated fee programs was transferred along with the obligation to provide facilities.

### 1. Capital Facilities Fee – City Administration Facilities (Fund 311)

The 2018 Nexus Study identified the need for new administrative space beyond current capacity to accommodate the addition of new staff. The estimated cost of these new facilities was included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$4.4 Million million in 2017 dollars (including land acquisition costs). The 2018 Nexus Study calculated future administrative space needs using the existing level of service (LOS) standard, and allocated 100% of future facilities costs to new development, assuming that the same LOS is maintained. There is no existing deficiency as current City administrative space needs are met by current facilities.

Ending Balance for June 30, 2018 is what is shown as the balance on the City's records, and which may include several different assets and liabilities accounts not depicted below, but which still affect ending balance.

There currently are no projects programmed in this Fund through the adopted 2018-2023 Capital Improvements Program (CIP) 5-year forecast, but a City Facilities Assessment Master Plan is partially funded in FY18-19. This is due to the need to accumulate sufficient funds first before programming eligible project expenditures.

### **Required Findings**

1. The purpose of the City administration facilities fee component of the Capital Facilities fee Program is to fund the City administration facilities improvements necessary to serve new residential and nonresidential development in the City. New development in the City will increase the service population and, therefore, the need for new City administration facilities.

2. The reasonable relationship between the City administration facilities fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018.* 

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete the future City administration facilities are in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update, dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018* (shown in 2017 dollars).

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing this new facility is not known as it is a longer term planned project for the City.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$944,797
Fees collected	\$207,879
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$0
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$0
Total	\$207,879

		<u>% Fee</u>
Dishursements	Amount	Funded in
<u>Disbursements</u>	Amount	<u>FY18</u>
Project Management	\$1,225	100%
Total	\$1,225	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$1,151,451	
		<u>% Fee</u>
		<u>Funded in</u>
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY19</u>
City Facilities Assessment Master Plan	\$50,000	13%

#### Capital Facilities Fee FUND: 311 - CFF - City Administration Facilities Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Description	E	Y 2013/14	E	<u>Y 2014/15</u>	E	Y 2015/16	E	Y 2016/17	<u>F`</u>	<u> </u>
Revenues Fees collected	\$	149,769	\$	147,708	\$	171,565	\$	84,665	\$	207,879
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$	16,387	\$	17,575	\$	26,559	\$	(23,721)	\$	
Miscellaneous Revenue	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	835	<u>\$</u>	22	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	<u> </u>
Total Revenues	\$	166,156	\$	166,118	\$	198,146	\$	60,945	\$	207,879
Expenditures										
Debt Service	\$	514,502	\$	514,327	\$	513,225	\$	461,449	\$	-
Impact Fee Administration	\$	2,209	\$	1,103	\$	254	\$	1,025	\$	1,225
AB 1600 Disbursements	\$	165	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Total Expenditures	\$	516,876	\$	515,430	\$	513,479	\$	462,474	\$	1,225
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	(350,719)	\$	(349,312)	\$	(315,333)	\$	(401,529)	\$	206,654
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	2,361,691	\$	2,010,972	\$	1,661,660	\$	1,346,327	\$	944,797
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	2,010,972	\$	1,661,660	\$	1,346,327	\$	944,797	\$	1,151,451
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Fund Balance	\$	2,010,972	\$	1,661,660	\$	1,346,327	\$	944,797	\$	1,151,451

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

	Project	Amount	Percent Funded	Impa	act Fee	Non-Impa	act Fee
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Expe	ended	by Impact Fees	Expe	enditures	Expend	itures
Project Management	\$	1,225	100%	\$	1,225	\$	-

	Proje	ect Amount	Percent Funded	lm	pact Fee	Non-I	mpact Fee
FY 2016-2017 Projects	E:	xpended by Impact Fees		Expenditures		Expenditures	
Project Management	\$	1,025	100%	\$	1,025	\$	-
Debt Service on 8380 Laguna Palms Way	\$	461,449	100%	\$	461,449	\$	-
Total	\$	462,474		\$	462,474		

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	E	Y 2013/14	 Y 2014/15	F	Y 2015/16	<u>F</u> `	Y 2016/17	F	<u> 2017/18</u>
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	166,156	\$ 166,118	\$	198,146	\$	60,945	\$	207,879
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	123,274	\$ 166,156	\$	166,118	\$	198,146	\$	60,945
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	103,993	\$ 123,274	\$	166,156	\$	166,118	\$	198,146
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	126,931	\$ 103,993	\$	123,274	\$	166,156	\$	166,118
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	153,586	\$ 126,931	\$	103,993	\$	123,274	\$	166,156
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	1,337,031	\$ 975,187	\$	588,639	\$	230,158	\$	352,207
Total Revenue Available	\$	2,010,972	\$ 1,661,660	\$	1,346,327	\$	944,797	\$	1,151,451

Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match											
	FY	2013/14	F١	<u>′ 2014/15</u>	F١	<u>′ 2015/16</u>	F	<u>Y 2016/17</u>	FY2	2017/18	
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal year	\$	516,876	\$	515,430	\$	513,479	\$	462,474	\$	1,225	
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	516,876	\$	515,430	\$	513,479	\$	462,474	\$	1,225	

Adopted 2018-2023 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)										
	FY	<u>′2018/19</u>	<u>FY2</u>	<u>019/20</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	020/21	<u>FY2</u>	021/22	FY20	22/23
City Facilities Assessment Master Plan WFC031	\$	50,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total	\$	50,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

### 2. Capital Facilities Fee - Police Facilities (Fund 312)

The 2018 Nexus Study identified the need for a new police facility, equipment, and vehicles. The estimated cost of the new facility and fleet needs was included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$22.8 million in 2017 dollars. The 2018 Nexus Study calculated future Police facilities needs using the existing LOS standard, allocating 82% of future facilities costs to new development, assuming that the same LOS is maintained. According to the 2018 Nexus Study, there is an existing deficiency for police facilities square footage that is allocated to existing development.

This citywide development impact fee program component funds new development's share of police facilities and equipment to serve the City. Ending Balance for June 30, 2018 is what is shown as the balance on the City's books, and which may include several different asset and liabilities accounts not depicted below, but which still affect ending balance.

### **Required Findings**

1. The purpose of the police facility fee component of the Capital Facilities Fee Program is to fund the police facility improvements and vehicle acquisition necessary to serve new residential and nonresidential development in the City based on the existing LOS provided. New development in the City will increase the service population and, therefore, the need for new police personnel.

2. The reasonable relationship between the police facility fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018.* 

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete the future police facility are in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update, dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018* (shown in 2017 dollars). 4. The approximate date for funding and constructing this new facility is currently outside of the *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program as this is a longer term planned project for the City. The City is currently working on a project to expand the Corp Yard parking lot and entry improvements whose costs will be allocated between CFF Transit, CFF Police, and Prop 1B funds, according to the functions attributable to each fund category.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$2,522,831
Fees collected	\$494,269
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	0
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$0
Total	\$494,269

Disbursements	Amount	<u>% Fee</u> <u>Funded</u> in FY18
Project Management	\$5,383	100%
Corp Yard Parking & Entry Improvements WCY005	\$327,272	34%
Police Department Vehicle Purchases City Facilities Assessment/Master Plan WFC031 Total	\$52,771 <u>\$8,023</u> \$393,449	100% 100%
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$2,623,650	
		<u>% Fee</u> Funded
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY19</u>
Corp Yard Parking and Entry Improvements WCY005	\$38,997	52%
City Facilities Assessment/Master Plan WFC031 Total Planned Projects FY 2018/19	<u>\$191,977</u> \$233,554	49%

Capital Facilities Fee FUND: 312 - CFF - Police Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years										
Description	E	Y 2013/14		FY 2014/15	E	Y 2015/16	<u>F</u>	<u>Y 2016/17</u>	E	Y2017/18
Revenues										
Fees collected	\$	266,209	\$	264,830	\$	308,567	\$	151,814	\$	494,269
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Reimbursement from Fund 313	\$ \$	29,450	\$ \$	34,895	\$ \$	45,306	\$ \$	(38,710) 34,652	\$ \$	-
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$	-	9 <del>(</del>		\$	2,141	\$	7,852	\$	-
Total Revenues	\$	295,659	\$		\$	356,014	\$	155,608	\$	494,269
Expenditures										
Debt Service	\$	320,729	\$	320,620	\$	319,933	\$ \$	1,342,220	\$	-
Impact Fee Administration	\$	10,469			\$	579		(1,261)	\$	5,383
AB 1600 Disbursements Total Expenditures	<u>\$</u> \$	<u>30,268</u> <b>361,466</b>	<u>9</u>		<u>\$</u> \$	<u>45,024</u> <b>365,536</b>	<u>\$</u> \$	82,251 <b>1,423,210</b>	<u>\$</u> \$	<u>388,066</u> <b>393,449</b>
•	•	-			·					-
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	(65,807)	\$		\$	(9,522)	\$	(1,267,603)	\$	100,820
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	3,854,911	\$		\$	3,799,955	\$	3,790,433	\$	2,522,831
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	3,789,104	\$		\$	3,790,433	\$	2,522,831	\$	2,623,650
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Fund Balance	\$	3,789,104	\$	3,799,955	\$	3,790,433	\$	2,522,831	\$	2,623,650
Capital Improvement Projects	<b>D</b>		-	and Friday de al	1.		N 1			
EV 2017 2010 Ducie sta		ject Amount		Percent Funded		mpact Fee		-Impact Fee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects		Expended	0	y Impact Fees		xpenditures		penditures		
Project Management	\$	5,383		0%	\$	5,383	\$	-		
Police Department Vehicle Purchases	\$	52,771		100%	\$	52,771	\$	-		
Corp Yard Parking & Entry WCY005	\$	971,410		34%	\$	327,272	\$	644,138		
City Facilities Assessment/Master Plan WFC031	\$	8,023		100%	\$	8,023	\$	8,023		
Total	\$	1,037,587			\$	393,449	\$	652,161		
	Pro	ject Amount	Р	Percent Funded	Ir	mpact Fee	Non	-Impact Fee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects		Expended		y Impact Fees		xpenditures		penditures		
Project Management	\$	(1,261)	~	100%	\$	(1,261)	\$	-		
Police Department Vehicle Purchases	\$	57,620		100%	\$	57,620	\$	-		
Debt Service on 8380 Laguna Palms Way	\$	300,228		100%	\$	300,228	\$	-		
CFF Police Series '07 LRBs Payoff	\$	1,041,992		100%	\$	1,041,992	\$	-		
Corp Yard Parking and Entry WCY005	\$	41,759		59%	\$	24,631	\$	17,128		
Total	\$	1,440,338			\$	1,423,210	\$	17,128		
Five Year R	ever	nue Test Usir	ng	First in First Ou	ıt M	ethod				
		Y 2013/14	Ũ	<u>FY 2014/15</u>		Y 2015/16	<u>F</u>	<u>Y 2016/17</u>	E	Y2017/18
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	295,659	\$	302,710	\$	356,014	\$	155,608	\$	494,269
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	212,655	\$	295,659	\$	302,710	\$	356,014	\$	155,608
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	177,306	\$	212,655	\$	295,659	\$	302,710	\$	356,014
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	207,552	\$	5 177,306	\$	212,655	\$	295,659	\$	302,710
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	245,534	\$	207,552	\$	177,306	\$	212,655	\$	295,659
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	2,650,398	\$	5 2,604,073	\$	2,446,089	\$	1,200,185	\$	1,019,391
Total Revenue Available	\$	3,789,104	\$	3,799,955	\$	3,790,433	\$	2,522,831	\$	2,623,650
Five	Yea	r Expenditur	e t	o Revenue Mat	ch					
	E	Y 2013/14		FY 2014/15	E	Y 2015/16	<u>F</u>	Y 2016/17	E	Y2017/18
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	- 3	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	s -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	361,466	\$	<u>291,859</u>	\$	365,536	\$	1,423,210	\$	393,449
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	361,466	\$	5 291,859	\$	365,536	\$	1,423,210	\$	393,449
Adopted 201	18-20	23 Capital Ir	np	rovement Prog	ram	n (CIP)				
		Y2018/19	•	FY2019/20		Y 2020/21	F	Y2021/22	F	Y2022/23
Corp Yard Parking Expansion WCY005	\$	38,997	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
City Facilities Assessment/Master Plan WFC031	\$	191,977	÷	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
,	¢		<u> </u>		¢		¢		¢	
Total	φ	230,974	\$	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-

### 3. Capital Facilities Fee - Corporation Yard and Animal Shelter (Fund 313)

The 2018 Nexus Study update identified the need for a corporation yard based on the build out LOS standard. The 2018 Nexus Study update also added future Animal Shelter facilities as a subcomponent within the Corporation Yard component of the Capital Facilities Fee, including building and site space and financing costs. The estimated cost of the new facilities, including replacement costs, construction, land acquisition and site development were included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$45 million in *2017 dollars*. The Nexus Study, using *2017 dollars*, identified new development's share of the cost using the build out LOS standard at \$11 million for facilities (24.3% of the total facilities/equipment cost) which is included in the fee program. Existing development's share of the cost (the existing deficiency) is \$34 million (75.7% of new facilities/equipment).

There is an existing deficiency as a corporation yard is required whether or not any new development were to occur in the City - but with new development occurring, a larger corporation yard is required. Existing development's share must be funded with General Fund, Measure A, Gas Tax, the transit fund, or other funding sources, including netting the value of existing corporation yard facilities against the existing deficiency. This citywide development impact fee program component funds new development's fair share of corporation yard facilities to serve the City.

### **Required Findings**

- The purpose of the corporation yard fee component of the Capital Facilities Fee Program is to fund the corporation yard and corporation yard – animal shelter facilities improvements necessary to serve new residential and nonresidential development in the City. New development in the City will increase the service population and, therefore, the need for new corporation yard facilities.
- 2. The reasonable relationship between the corporation yard fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018.*
- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete the corporation yard facility are in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018* (shown in *2017 dollars*). The sources of funding existing development's share are the General Fund, Measure A and Gas Tax, the transit fund, storm water utility fees, or other funding sources.
- 4. The City has already acquired the initial corporation yard. Moving forward, the corporation yard fee component will begin directly paying for its share of project costs. The approximate date for funding and constructing some of these facilities is shown in the *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program. Current projects for expansion of the existing corporation yard are included as outlined on page 11.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$770,106	
Fees collected	\$339,476	
Animal Shelter fees collected	\$60,634	
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$0	
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$0	
Total	\$400,110	
		% Fee Funded
<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY18</u>
Project Management	\$0	100%
Animal Shelter WFC012	<u>\$1,084,249</u>	42%
Total	\$1,084,249	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$85,967	
		% Fee Funded
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	Amount	in FY19
Animal Shelter WFC012	\$92,376	<1%
Debt Service on Animal Shelter bonds	\$103,863	14%
City Facilities Assessment/Master Plan WFC031	<u>\$100,000</u>	26%
Total	\$292,376	

#### Capital Facilities Fee FUND: 313 - CFF - Corporation Yard Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Description	<u>F</u>	<u>Y 2013/14</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Y 2014/15</u>	<u></u>	<u>Y 2015/16</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Y 2016/17</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Y2017/18</u>
Revenues										
Fees collected	\$	233,976	\$	234,266	\$	274,933	\$	135,083	\$	339,476
Animal Shelter Program Fee	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	60,634
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$	5,130	Ş.	8,027	\$	7,687	\$	(5,644)	\$	-
Miscellaneous Revenue	<u>&gt;</u>	-	<u>&gt;</u>	611	\$	390	\$	80	<u>&gt;</u>	-
Total Revenues	\$	239,106	\$	242,904	\$	283,010	\$	129,520	\$	400,110
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	2,306	\$	6,698	\$	357	\$	8,886	\$	-
Reimbursement to Fund 312							\$	34,652		
AB 1600 Disbursements	\$	9,335	\$	134,684	\$	-	\$	489,796		1,084,249
Total Expenditures	\$	11,641	\$	141,382	\$	357	\$	533,334	\$ ·	1,084,249
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	227,465	\$	101,522	\$	282,653	\$	(403,815)	\$	(684,139)
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	562,281	\$	789,746	\$	891,268	\$	1,173,921	\$	770,106
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	789,746	\$	891,268	\$	1,173,921	\$	770,106	\$	85,967
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Fund Balance	\$	789,746	\$	891,268	\$	1,173,921	\$	770,106	\$	85,967
Capital Improvement Projects										
	Pro	ject Amount	Per	cent Funded	Ir	npact Fee	Non	-Impact Fee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects	E	Expended	by I	Impact Fees	E>	penditures	Ex	penditures		
Animal Shelter WFC012	\$	2,589,156		42%	\$	1,084,249	\$	1,504,907		
Total	\$	2,589,156			\$	1,084,249	\$	1,504,907		
	Pro	ject Amount	Per	cent Funded	Ir	npact Fee	Non	-Impact Fee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Ē	, Expended	bv I	Impact Fees	E۶	cpenditures		penditures		
Project Management	\$	8,886		100%	\$	8,886	\$	-		
Reimbursement to Fund 312	\$	34.652		100%	\$	34,652	\$	-		
Animal Shelter WFC012	\$	489,796		100%	\$	489,796	\$	-		
	\$	533,334			\$	533,334	\$	-		

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	<u>F</u>	<u>( 2013/14</u>	<u>F`</u>	<u>Y 2014/15</u>	E	Y 2015/16	<u>F</u>	<u>( 2016/17</u>	<u>F`</u>	<u> 2017/18 (2017/18</u> )	
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	239,106	\$	242,904	\$	283,010	\$	129,520	\$	400,110	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	166,185	\$	239,106	\$	242,904	\$	283,010	\$	-	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	146,955	\$	166,185	\$	239,106	\$	242,904	\$	-	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	155,604	\$	146,955	\$	166,185	\$	114,673	\$	-	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	81,895	\$	96,118	\$	146,955	\$	-	\$	-	
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	-	\$	95,761	\$	-	\$	-	
Total Revenue Available	\$	789,746	\$	891,268	\$	1,173,921	\$	770,106	\$	85,967	

#### Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match

	<u>FY 2</u>	013/14	<u>F</u> `	<u>Y 2014/15</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Y 2015/16</u>	<u> </u>	Y 2016/17	<u>F</u> `	<u>Y2017/18</u>
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	314,143
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	129,520
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	283,010
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	124,433	\$	242,904
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	11,641	\$	59,487	\$	-	\$	166,185	\$	114,673
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	81,895	\$	357	\$	242,716	\$	-
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	11,641	\$	141,382	\$	357	\$	533,334	\$ ·	1,084,249

#### Adopted 2018-2023 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

	FY2018/19		FY2019/20		FY 2020/21		FY2021/22		FY2022/23	
City Facilities Assessment/Master Plan WFC031	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Animal Shelter WFC012	\$	92,376	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Debt Service on Animal Shelter Bonds	\$	100,000	\$	176,256	\$	176,753	\$	176,576	\$	176,530
Total	\$	292,376	\$	176,256	\$	176,753	\$	176,576	\$	176,530

### 4. Capital Facilities Fee - Library Facilities (Fund 315)

The 2018 Nexus Study update identified the need for new library facilities. The estimated cost of the new facility, including financing, was included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$51 million in *2017 dollars*. The Nexus Study, using *2017 dollars*, identified new development's share of the cost using the build out LOS standard at \$16 million in *2017* dollars (31.2% of the total cost) which is included in the fee program. Existing development's share of the cost (the existing deficiency) is \$35 million (68.8% of the estimated cost). There is an existing deficiency because the City's existing library facilities are inadequate at approximately .20 square feet of library facility per resident when the Sacramento Public Library Master Plan sets a LOS standard at .50 square feet per resident. The 2018 update to the CFF Nexus Study identifies the amount of square footage needed to meet the .50 square feet of library facility per future resident. Existing development's share must be funded with grants, the General Fund, or other funding sources. This citywide development impact fee program component funds new development's fair share of library facilities to serve the City.

### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the library facility fee component of the Capital Facilities Fee Program is to fund the library facility improvement necessary to serve new residential development in the City. New development in the City will increase the service population and, therefore, the need for new library facilities.

2. The reasonable relationship between the library fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018.* 

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete the future library facility are in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018* (shown in *2017 dollars*), as well as in the 2018-2023 Capital Improvement Program.

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing this new facility is currently outside of the *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program as these are longer term planned projects for the City.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$2,950,084	
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total	\$641,425 	
		<u>% Fee Funded</u>
<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY18</u>
Library and Cultural Arts Bldg. Study WCCA02	\$152,350	90%
Total	\$152,350	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$3,439,159	
		% Fee Funded
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY19</u>
Library and Cultural Art Study WCCA02	\$147,650	96%

#### Capital Facilities Fee FUND: 315 - CFF - Library Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Statement of Revenues and Expenditur	es and chang			FIVE FISCAL TE	ais				
Description	<u>FY 2013/14</u>	<u>FY 2014/15</u>	<u>FY 2015/16</u>	FY 2016/17	<u>FY2017/18</u>				
Revenues	<b>•</b> (00.000	<b>•</b> (00.000	<b>• • • • • • • • •</b>	<b>•</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>•</b> • • • • • • <b>•</b>				
Fees collected	\$ 426,000 \$ 11,206	\$ 428,366 \$ 19,571	\$ 476,071 \$ 18,517	\$ 247,208 \$ (12,448)	\$ 641,425				
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total Revenues	<u>\$ 11,306</u> <b>\$ 437,306</b>	<u>\$ 18,571</u> <b>\$ 446,937</b>	<u>\$ 18,517</u> <b>\$ 494,588</b>	<u>\$ (13,448)</u> <b>\$ 233,760</b>	<u>\$</u> \$_641,425				
	φ 437,300	ψ 440,007	ψ 434,300	φ 200,700	ψ 041,423				
Expenditures Impact Fee Administration	\$ 749	\$ 715	\$ 346	\$-	\$-				
AB 1600 Disbursements	\$      749 \$      -	\$ 715 <u>\$ -</u>	\$	\$- \$-	φ - \$ 152,350				
Total Expenditures	\$ 749	\$ 715	\$ 346	\$-	\$ 152,350				
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$ 436,557	\$ 446,222	\$ 494,242	\$ 233,760	\$ 489,076				
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$ 1,339,303	\$ 1,775,860	\$ 2,222,082	\$ 2,716,324	\$ 2,950,084				
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$1,775,860	\$2,222,082	\$ 2,716,324	\$ 2,950,084	\$ 3,439,159				
Assigned Fund Balance	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -				
Available Fund Balance	\$1,775,860	\$2,222,082	\$ 2,716,324	\$ 2,950,084	\$ 3,439,159				
Capital Improvement Projects	<b>.</b>								
	Project	Percent	Imment Fee	Non-Impact					
EV 2017-2018 Projects	Amount Exponded	Funded by	Impact Fee	Fee					
FY 2017-2018 Projects Library and Cultural Arts Bldg. Study WCCA02	Expended \$ 168,559	Impact Fees 90%	Expenditures	Expenditures \$ 16,209					
		90%	<u>\$ 152,350</u>	<u> </u>					
Total	\$ 168,559		\$ 152,350	\$ 16,209					
	Project	Percent		Non-Impact					
	Amount	Funded by	Impact Fee	Fee					
<u>FY 2016-2017 Projects</u> None	Expended	Impact Fees 100%	Expenditures \$-	Expenditures					
	Ψ		Ť	Ψ					
Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method									
	<u>FY 2013/14</u>	<u>FY 2014/15</u>	FY 2015/16	<u>FY 2016/17</u>	<u>FY2017/18</u>				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Available Revenue Current Year	\$ 437,306	\$ 446,937	\$ 494,588	\$ 233,760	\$ 641,425				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$ 291,671	\$ 437,306	\$ 446,937	\$ 494,588	\$ 233,760				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	<ul><li>\$ 291,671</li><li>\$ 237,013</li></ul>	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	<ul><li>\$ 291,671</li><li>\$ 237,013</li><li>\$ 250,275</li></ul>	<ul><li>\$ 437,306</li><li>\$ 291,671</li><li>\$ 237,013</li></ul>	<ul><li>\$ 446,937</li><li>\$ 437,306</li><li>\$ 291,671</li></ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> </ul>	<ul><li>\$ 233,760</li><li>\$ 494,588</li><li>\$ 446,937</li></ul>				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	<ul> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 237,013</li> <li>\$ 250,275</li> <li>\$ 213,993</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 237,013</li> <li>\$ 250,275</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 237,013</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 233,760</li> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> </ul>				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	<ul> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 237,013</li> <li>\$ 250,275</li> <li>\$ 213,993</li> <li>\$ 345,602</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 237,013</li> <li>\$ 250,275</li> <li>\$ 558,880</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 237,013</li> <li>\$ 808,809</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 1,045,822</li> </ul>	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b>	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 \$ 1,775,860	<ul> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 237,013</li> <li>\$ 250,275</li> </ul>	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 \$ 2,716,324	<ul> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 233,760</li> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> </ul>				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b>	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 \$ 1,775,860	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b>	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 \$ 2,716,324	<ul> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 1,045,822</li> </ul>	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b>	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 \$ 1,775,860 Expenditure to	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 \$ 2,222,082 • Revenue Ma	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 \$ 2,716,324 tch	<ul> <li>\$ 494,588</li> <li>\$ 446,937</li> <li>\$ 437,306</li> <li>\$ 291,671</li> <li>\$ 1,045,822</li> <li>\$ 2,950,084</li> </ul>	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 \$ 3,439,159				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> <b>Five Year E</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> Expenditure to FY 2013/14 \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 \$ 2,222,082 • Revenue Ma FY 2014/15	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <u>FY 2015/16</u> \$ _ \$ _	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 \$ 2,950,084 <u>FY 2016/17</u>	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 \$ 3,439,159 FY2017/18				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> <b>Five Year E</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>b Revenue Ma</b> <b><u>FY 2014/15</u> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -</b>	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <u>FY 2015/16</u> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 \$ 2,950,084 <u>FY 2016/17</u> \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 \$ 3,439,159 FY2017/18 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> <b>Five Year E</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>b Revenue Material</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <u>FY 2015/16</u> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b><u>FY 2016/17</u> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -</b>	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 \$ 3,439,159 FY2017/18 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> <b>Expense Allocation Current Year</b> Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>b Revenue Material</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <u>FY 2015/16</u> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b>FY 2016/17</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 \$ 3,439,159 FY2017/18 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> <b>Expense Allocation Current Year</b> Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>Revenue Ma</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 <b>\$ 808,809</b> <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <b>FY 2015/16</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 346	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b>FY 2016/17</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 <b>\$ 3,439,159</b> <b>FY2017/18</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Annual Expenditures</b>	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>b Revenue Ma</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 <b>5 -</b> <b>5 -</b> <b>7 15</b>	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <b>FY 2015/16</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 346 <b>\$ 346</b>	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b>FY 2016/17</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 \$ 3,439,159 FY2017/18 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> <b>Expense Allocation Current Year</b> Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>b Revenue Ma</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 <b>5 715</b> <b>FOVEMENT Prop</b>	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <b>FY 2015/16</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 346 <b>\$ 346</b> gram (CIP)	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b>FY 2016/17</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 <b>\$ 3,439,159</b> <b>FY2017/18</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Annual Expenditures</b>	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>b Revenue Ma</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 <b>5 -</b> <b>5 -</b> <b>7 15</b>	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <b>FY 2015/16</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 346 <b>\$ 346</b>	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b>FY 2016/17</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 <b>\$ 3,439,159</b> <b>FY2017/18</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Annual Expenditures</b> Library and Culture Art Study WCCA02	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 \$ 1,775,860 Expenditure to FY 2013/14 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>&gt; Revenue Ma</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <b>FY 2015/16</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 346 <b>\$ 346</b> gram (CIP) <b>FY 2020/21</b>	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b>FY 2016/17</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 <b>\$ 3,439,159</b> <b>FY2017/18</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Annual Expenditures</b>	\$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 213,993 \$ 345,602 <b>\$ 1,775,860</b> <b>Expenditure to</b> <b>FY 2013/14</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 250,275 \$ 558,880 <b>\$ 2,222,082</b> <b>b Revenue Ma</b> <b>FY 2014/15</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 <b>5 -</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 237,013 \$ 808,809 <b>\$ 2,716,324</b> tch <b>FY 2015/16</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 346 <b>\$ 346</b> gram (CIP)	\$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 291,671 \$ 1,045,822 <b>\$ 2,950,084</b> <b>FY 2016/17</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 233,760 \$ 494,588 \$ 446,937 \$ 437,306 \$ 1,185,143 <b>\$ 3,439,159</b> <b>FY2017/18</b> \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				

### 5. Capital Facilities Fee - Transit/Bus (Fund 317)

The 2018 Nexus Study update identified the need for a transit corporation yard, buses, bus facilities and rapid transit facilities. The estimated cost of these components combined was included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$82.5 million in *2017 dollars*. The Nexus Study, using *2017 dollars*, identified new development's share of the cost using the build out LOS standard at \$21.2 million for buses and facilities (60.5% of the total cost) which is included in the fee program. Existing development's share of the cost (the existing deficiency) is \$13.9 million (39.5% of the estimated cost). The 2018 Nexus Study update also identifies \$47.4 million of rapid transit facilities to be funded with an 80% federal funding match. There is an existing deficiency representing existing development's funding responsibility for unconstructed facilities included in the fee program based on existing and future development estimates for the City. Existing development's share must be funded with Federal funds, the General Fund, farebox revenue, or other funding sources. This citywide development impact fee program component funds new development's fair share of transit/bus related facilities to serve the City.

### **Required Findings**

1. The purpose of the transit fee component of the Capital Facilities Fee Program is to fund the transit facility improvements and vehicle acquisition necessary to serve new residential and nonresidential development in the City. New development in the City will increase transit ridership and therefore, the need for additional transit facilities and vehicles.

2. The reasonable relationship between the corporation yard fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018.* 

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing bus acquisitions and related facilities are in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study* - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018 (shown in 2017 *dollars*). The sources of funding for existing development's share are Federal funds, or other funding sources.

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing of some projects, including bus shelters and park and ride facility expansions are shown in the *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program being funded by this funding source. Future bus acquisitions and related facilities are longer term planned projects. The City is currently working on a project to expand the Corp Yard parking lot and entry improvements whose costs will be allocated between CFF Transit, CFF Police, and Prop 1B funds, according to the functions attributable to each fund category.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$3,277,803
Fees collected	\$728,326
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$0
Miscellaneous Revenue	<u>\$0</u>
Total	\$728,326

<u>Disbursements</u> Project Management Multi-Modal Station WFC006 Corp Yard Parking Expansion WCY005 Total	<u>Amount</u> \$1,453 \$96,392 <u>\$240,786</u> \$338,631	<u>% Fee Funded</u> 100% 42% 25%
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 <u>Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19</u>	\$3,667,498 <u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
BRT Early Implementation Study WAM007	\$120,000	100%
Corp Yard Parking Expansion WCY005	\$37,105	48%
Aquatics Center WCC002 (transit facilities)	\$1,000,000	10%
City Facilities Assessment/Master Plan WFC031	\$50,000	13%
Multi-Modal Station WFC006	\$442,167	100%
Total Planned Projects FY 2018/19	\$1,650,561	

		City of Elk								
		317 - CFF -			lan		-:			
Statement of Revenues and Expendi			-							
Description Bevenues	Ŀ	<u>Y 2013/14</u>	Ŀ	<u>Y 2014/15</u>	F	<u>Y 2015/16</u>	F	<u>Y 2016/17</u>	Ŀ	Y2017/18
Revenues Fees collected	\$	372,313	\$	374,646	\$	504,003	\$	215,305	\$	728,326
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss		15,422		22,804	\$	24,835		(19,225)		- 120,020
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$ \$		\$ \$	1,026	\$	1,437	\$\$	424	\$ \$	
Total Revenues	\$	387,735	\$	398,475	\$	530,275	\$	196,504	\$	728,326
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$ \$	4,120	\$ \$	4,666	\$	1,269	\$ \$	960	\$ \$	1,453
AB 1600 Disbursements		4 4 2 0		19,712	\$	10,115		98,094		337,179
Total Expenditures	\$	4,120	\$	24,378	\$	11,384	\$	99,054	\$	338,631
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	383,614	\$	374,098	\$	518,891	\$	97,450	\$	389,695
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	1,903,750	\$	2,287,364	\$	2,661,462	\$	3,180,353	\$	3,277,803
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	2,287,364	\$	2,661,462	\$	3,180,353	\$	3,277,803	\$	3,667,498
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Fund Balance	\$	2,287,364	\$	2,661,462	\$	3,180,353	\$	3,277,803	\$	3,667,498
Capital Improvement Projects		During		Descent						
		Project	-	Percent	L.		N	on-Impact		
EV 2017 2018 Projecto		Amount		Funded by		npact Fee	г.	Fee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects Project Management	\$	Expended	111	pact Fees 100%	<u> </u>	<u>penditures</u> 1,453	-	penditures		
Project Management Corp Yard Parking Expansion WCY005	э \$	1,453 971,410		25%	э \$	240,786	\$ \$	- 730,624		
Multi-modal Station WFC006	ֆ \$	232,203		23 <i>%</i> 42%	ֆ \$	96,392	Գ \$	135,811		
				42 /0						
Total	\$	1,205,065			\$	338,631	\$	866,434		
		Project	_	Percent			Ν	on-Impact		
		Amount		unded by		npact Fee	_	Fee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects		Expended	In	pact Fees		penditures		penditures		
Project Management	\$	960		100%	\$	960	\$	-		
Light Rail Alignment Study PL0024	\$	16,561		100%	\$	16,561	\$	-		
Corp Yard Parking Expansion WCY005 Multi-Modal Station WFC006	\$ \$	41,759		41% 56%	\$ \$	17,128	\$ \$	24,631		
Developer Fee Reimbursement (C-16-459)	φ \$	46,684 38,161		100%	ֆ \$	26,244 38,161	\$	20,439		
	<u>φ</u> \$	144,125		10078	<u>φ</u> \$	99,054	\$	45,071		
Total	+				*	,	φ	45,071		
Five Year Reve							-	V 0040/47	-	V0047/40
Available Boyanue Current Veer		Y 2013/14		<u>Y 2014/15</u>		<u>Y 2015/16</u>		<u>Y 2016/17</u>		Y2017/18
Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$ \$	387,735 266,234	\$ \$	398,475 387,735	\$ \$	530,275 398,475	\$ \$	196,504 530,275	\$ \$	728,326 196,504
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	ֆ \$	268,206	գ \$	266,234	\$	387,735	φ \$	398,475	ф \$	530,275
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	φ \$	342,124	\$	268,206	\$	266,234	\$	387,735	φ \$	398,475
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	1,023,066	\$	342,124	\$	268,206	\$	266,234	\$	387,735
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	998,688	\$	1,329,428	*	1,498,580	\$	1,426,182
Total Revenue Available	\$	2,287,364		2,661,462		3,180,353	\$	3,277,803	\$	3,667,498
Five Yea	•			evenue Mat		-,,	:	-, ,		-,,
		Y 2013/14		Y 2014/15		Y 2015/16	E	Y 2016/17	E	Y2017/18
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)		4,120	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years		-	\$	24,378	\$	11,384	\$ \$	99,054	\$ \$	338,631
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	4,120	\$	24,378	\$	11,384	Þ	99,054	Þ	338,631
Adopted 2018-2				-			-	W0004 /00	-	·V2022/22
DDT Forthy Implementation Of the WANDOOT		TY2018/19		<u>Y2019/20</u>		<u>Y 2020/21</u>		Y2021/22		<u>Y2022/23</u>
BRT Early Implementation Study WAM007	\$	120,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Civic Center Aquatics Center WCC002	\$	1,000,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Corp Yard Parking Expansion WCY005	\$	37,105	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Multi-Modal Station WFC006	\$	442,167	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
City Facilities Assessment Master Plan WFC031	<u>\$</u>	50,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total	\$	1,649,272	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

# City of Elk Grove

### 5. Capital Facilities Fee - Rapid Transit Facilities

Note: Sacramento Regional Transit (SacRT) holds the transit funds collected from the Elk Grove/West Vineyard Fee Program (an impact fee program originally created and collected by the County of Sacramento), which was discontinued in FY04/05 and whose facilities were made eligible under the CFF Transit Fund. The revenues collected up until the County's Elk Grove/West Vineyard Fee Program was discontinued are still held by SacRT and are separate and apart from the CFF Transit Fund, and must be used to provide rapid transit facilities in the City of Elk Grove. On February 11, 2009, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2009-38 which pledged the remaining balance of the Elk Grove/West Vineyard Fee Program held and administered by SacRT towards the Sacramento South Corridor Phase 2 Light Rail Extension. SacRT has indicated to staff that the Sacramento South Corridor Light Rail Extension will continue to be the priority for the funds.

The following information is provided by the Sacramento Regional Transit District. The City does not audit or verify the information provided.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$3,260,452	
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total	\$0 <u>\$45,321</u> \$45,321	
<u>Disbursements</u> No Project Disbursements Total	<u>Amount</u> \$0 \$0	<u>% Fee</u> <u>Funded in</u> <u>FY18</u> 100%
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$3,305,773	
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19 No Projects Programmed	<u>Amount</u> \$0	

### 6. Capital Facilities Fee - Administration (Fund 319)

The 2018 Nexus Study update identified the need for fee program administration. The estimated cost was increased from 2% to 4% of the fees collected in the fee program to more adequately fund fee program administration costs. The Nexus Study, using *2017 dollars*, identified new development's share of the cost at 100%. This funds the administrative expenses including but not limited to fee collection and accounting for the Capital Facilities Fee Program.

### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the administration fee component of the Capital Facilities Fee Program is to provide new development's share of funding for fee program administration, including fee collection, fee updates, annual reporting, etc.

2. The reasonable relationship between the administrative fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018.* 

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete administration of the fee program are in the *City of Elk Grove Capital Facilities Fee Program Nexus Study - 2018 Update dated December 20, 2017, effective March 12, 2018* (shown in 2017 dollars).

4. Administrative expenditures are ongoing in the fee program and are included in the current budget.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$287,394
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$65,482 \$24,489
Total	\$89,970

	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY18</u>
\$50,256	100%
\$15,422	100%
\$65,678	
\$311,687	
	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY19</u>
	\$50,256 <u>\$15,422</u> \$65,678 \$311,687

None Programmed

\$0

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 319 - CFF - Administration Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

<u>Description</u> Revenues	<u>F</u> Y	<u>′ 2013/14</u>	<u>F)</u>	<u> </u>	<u>F)</u>	<u>( 2015/16</u>	<u>F)</u>	<u>( 2016/17</u>	FY2017/18
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total Revenues	\$ <u>\$</u>	29,247 <u>538</u> <b>29,785</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	29,580 <u>956</u> <b>30,536</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	35,491 <u>91,796</u> <b>127,287</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	17,334 <u>120,524</u> <b>137,858</b>	\$ 65,482 <u>\$ 24,489</u> <b>\$ 89,970</b>
Expenditures Impact Fee Administration AB 1600 Disbursements	\$ \$	1,608	\$ \$	2,029	\$ \$	6,425 -	\$ \$	33,409 56,108	\$   50,256 \$   15,422
Total Expenditures	\$	1,608	\$	2,029	\$	6,425	\$	89,517	\$ 65,678
Revenue Less Expenditures Fund Balance, Beginning of Year Fund Balance, End of Year Assigned Fund Balance Available Fund Balance	\$ \$ \$ \$	28,177 61,507 89,684 89,684	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,507 89,684 118,191 - 118,191	\$ \$ \$ \$	120,862 118,191 239,053 - 239,053	\$ \$ \$ \$	48,341 239,053 287,394 - 287,394	\$ 24,293 \$ 287,394 \$ 311,687 \$ - \$ 311,687

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

	Project	Percent		Non-Impact
	Amount	Funded by	Impact Fee	Fee
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Expended	Impact Fees	Expenditures	Expenditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 50,256	100%	\$ 50,256	\$-
CFF Nexus Study Update	\$ 15,422	100%	\$ 15,422	
Total	\$ 65,678		\$ 65,678	

	Project	Percent		Non-Impact
	Amount	Funded by	Impact Fee	Fee
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Expended	Impact Fees	Expenditures	Expenditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 33,409	100%	\$ 33,409	\$-
CFF Nexus Study Update	\$ 56,108	100%	\$ 56,108	\$-
Total	\$ 89,517		\$ 89,517	

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	FY	2013/14	FΥ	<u>2014/15 2014/15 2014/15 2014/15 2014/15 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014</u>	<u>F</u>	<u> 2015/16</u>	<u>F)</u>	<u>2016/17</u>	<u>F)</u>	<u>/2017/18</u>
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	29,785	\$	30,536	\$	127,287	\$	137,858	\$	89,970
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	19,766	\$	29,785	\$	30,536	\$	127,287	\$	137,858
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	20,617	\$	19,766	\$	29,785	\$	22,250	\$	83,859
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	3,516	\$	20,617	\$	19,766	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	16,000	\$	3,516	\$	20,617	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	13,971	\$	11,062	\$	-	\$	-
Total Revenue Available	\$	89,684	\$	118,191	\$	239,053	\$	287,394	\$	311,687

Five Year Exp	end	iture to I	Reve	nue Matc	h					
	FY	<u>2013/14</u>	FY	<u>2014/15</u>	FY	<u>2015/16</u>	FY	2016/17	FΥ	<u>2017/18</u>
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	8,287	\$	43,428
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	29,785	\$	22,250
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	1,608	\$	-	\$	-	\$	19,766	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	2,029	\$	6,425	\$	31,679	\$	-
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	1,608	\$	2,029	\$	6,425	\$	89,517	\$	65,678

	Civio	: Center		Police cilities	Corp	o. Yard	Li	brary	Transit	2%	Admin			nt to Collect n the base fee
	Fu	nd 311	Fι	ind 312	Fur	nd 313	Fu	nd 315	Fund 318	Fu	nd 319		(components	plus the 2%
Land Use Category	Co	de C7	C	ode C8	Co	de C9	Co	de DB	Code DE	С	ode DF		administratio	n component)
RESIDENTIAL Single-Family (< 3 units - includes duplexes) Single-Family TOD* Multifamily (3 or more units) Multifamily TOD* Age-Restricted (single-family) Age-Restricted (multifamily)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	280 280 185 185 177 129	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	498 498 329 329 316 228	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$	451 451 296 296 286 207	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	822 822 542 542 523 377	\$ 653 \$ 727 \$ 553 \$ 734 \$ 253 \$ 349	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	54 56 38 41 31 26	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,758 2,834 1,943 2,127 1,586 1,316	/ unit / unit / unit / unit / unit / unit
<b>COMMERCIAL</b> Shopping Center/General Commercial Shopping Center/General Commercial TOD* Car Sales (new and used) Hotel	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.06 0.06 0.04 0.02	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.09 0.09 0.07 0.05	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.08 0.08 0.07 0.05	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$0.69 \$0.73 \$0.54 \$0.34	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01	\$ \$ \$	0.94 0.98 0.73 0.47	/ building sq. ft. / building sq. ft. / building sq. ft. / building sq. ft.
OFFICE Office Office TOD* INDUSTRIAL	\$ \$	0.09 0.09 0.02	\$ \$ \$	0.15 0.15 0.03	\$ <del>}</del> \$ <del>\$</del>	0.14 0.14 0.03	\$ \$ \$	- -	\$0.79 \$0.87 \$0.08	\$ \$	0.02 0.02 0.003	\$\$	1.19 1.27 0.163	/ building sq. ft. / building sq. ft. / building sq. ft.

### Capital Facilities Fee Program Fee Schedule for First Half of FY 2017/18 (July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017)

NOTE: Per Section 16.95.060 of the City of Elk Grove Municipal Code, fee adjustments will be made annually to all CFF fees based on the previous

Capital Facilities Fee Program Fee Schedu	le for Beginning of Second Half of F	Y 2017/18 (January 1, 2018 through March 11, 2018)
oapital l'acintics l'éé l'rogram l'éé benedu	ie for beginning of become than of t	

		Civic enter		Police cilities	Cor	poration Yard	Library		/ Transit		A	2% dmin	Total Amount to Collect (includes both the base fee										
	Fu	nd 311	Fu	ind 312	F	und 313	Fur	nd 315	Fi	und 318	Fu	ind 319	•										
Land Use Category		de C7		ode C8				de DB		ode DE		ode DF	· · ·										
															I /								
RESIDENTIAL																							
Single-Family (< 3 units - includes duplexes)	\$	291	\$	517	\$	468	\$	853	\$	678	\$	56	\$	2,863	/ unit								
Single-Family TOD*	\$	291	\$	517	\$	468	\$	853	\$	754	\$	58	\$	2,941	/ unit								
Multifamily (3 or more units)	\$	192	\$	341	\$	307	\$	562	\$	574	\$	39	\$	2,015	/ unit								
Multifamily TOD*	\$	192	\$	341	\$	307	\$	562	\$	762	\$	43	\$	2,207	/ unit								
Age-Restricted (single-family)	\$	184	\$	328	\$	297	\$	543	\$	263	\$	32	\$	1,647	/ unit								
Age-Restricted (multifamily)	\$	134	\$	237	\$	215	\$	391	\$	\$ 362		\$ 362		27	\$	1,366	/ unit						
COMMERCIAL																							
Shopping Center/General Commercial	\$	0.06	\$	0.09	\$	0.08	\$	-	\$	0.72	\$	0.02	\$	0.97	/ building sq. ft.								
Shopping Center/General Commercial TOD*	\$	0.06	\$	0.09	\$	0.08	\$	-	\$	0.76	\$	0.02	\$	1.01	/ building sq. ft.								
Car Sales (new and used)	\$	0.04	\$	0.07	\$	0.07	\$	-	\$	0.56	\$	0.01	\$	0.75	/ building sq. ft.								
Hotel	\$	0.02	\$	0.05	\$	0.05	\$	-	\$					\$ 0.35		\$ 0.35		\$ 0.35		0.01	\$	0.48	/ building sq. ft.
OFFICE																							
Office	\$	0.09	\$	0.16	\$	0.15	\$	-	\$	0.82	\$	0.02	\$	1.24	/ building sq. ft.								
Office TOD*	\$	0.09	\$	0.16	\$	0.15	\$		\$	0.90		0.02	\$	1.32	/ building sq. ft.								
INDUSTRIAL	\$	0.02	\$	0.03	\$	\$ 0.03		\$ 0.03		\$ 0.03		\$-		\$ 0.08		0.003		0.16	/ building sq. ft.				

January 1, 2018, rate increase was 3.77%, per the October 2016 to October 2017 Engineering News Record – Construction Cost Index (ENR-CCI) San Francisco index. This rate increase was only briefly in effect however since the 2018 CFF Nexus Study Update was adopted by Council on January 10, 2018, and went into effect 60 days later on March 12, 2018.

	-	Admin ilities		Police cilities	Co	Corp Yard		rp Yard - Animal Shelter	Library		Tr	ansit		4% dmin	(inc	lude	nount to Collect s both the base ponents plus the						
Land Use Category	Fur	nd 311	Fι	ind 312	F	und 313	F	und 313	Fι	und 315	Fund 318		Fund 318		Fund 318		Fund 318		Fund 319		4% administration component)		
RESIDENTIAL Single-Family (< 3 units - includes duplexes) Single-Family TOD* Multifamily (3 or more units) Multifamily TOD* Age-Restricted (single-family) Age-Restricted (multifamily)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	261 261 142 191 191 102	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,115 1,115 605 813 813 437	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	475 475 257 346 346 186	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	312 312 169 227 227 122	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,271 1,271 689 927 927 498	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	658 730 255 553 736 353	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	164 167 85 122 130 68	\$ 4,2 \$ 4,3 \$ 2,2 \$ 3,7 \$ 3,5 \$ 3,5	331 202 179 370	/ unit / unit / unit / unit / unit / unit						
COMMERCIAL Shopping Center/General Commercial Shopping Center/General Commercial TOD* Car Sales (new and used) Hotel	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.05 0.05 0.04 0.02		0.21 0.21 0.17 0.09	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.09 0.09 0.07 0.04	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.06 0.05 0.03	+	n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.82 0.87 0.67 0.35	\$ \$ \$ \$	0.05 0.05 0.04 0.02	\$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1	.28 .33 .04 .55	/ building sq. ft. / building sq. ft. / building sq. ft. / building sq. ft.						
OFFICE Office Office TOD* INDUSTRIAL	\$ \$ \$	0.08 0.08 0.04	\$ \$ \$	0.33 0.33 0.19	\$ \$ \$	0.14 0.14 0.08	\$ \$ \$	0.09 0.09 0.05		n/a n/a n/a	\$ \$ \$	0.88 0.98 0.18	\$ \$ \$	0.06 0.06 0.02	\$ 1	.58 .68 .56	/ building sq. ft. / building sq. ft. / building sq. ft.						

### Capital Facilities Fee Program Fee Schedule from March 12, 2018 through June 30, 2018 (CFF Nexus Study Update)

Note: The Capital Facilities fee is adjusted each January 1st for inflation.

### Affordable Housing Fee Program Overview

An Affordable Housing Fee Program was adopted by the City Council in 2002, based on a *Housing Nexus Study* and *Housing Impact Fee Analysis* prepared the same year. The 2002 studies identified the need for affordable housing in the City, and provided maximum fee levels. The fee program was revised in 2004 to make some minor implementation-related amendments and the fee level did not change. Modifications from 2004 to 2012 included only the annual inflation adjustment. A comprehensive update to the fee levels, uses, and codification was approved by the City Council on March 27, 2013. As a result of the 2013 Nexus Study, the City consolidated the Affordable Housing Fund and the Very Low Income Housing Trust Fund and established new maximum fees for residential and non-residential development projects under the Affordable Housing Development Impact Fee Program. For accounting and reporting purposes, all activities for both programs, including all loans regardless of origination date, were combined in the Affordable Housing Fund (Fund 231).

The Affordable Housing Fund has been used primarily to assist the development of affordable rental housing units for low-income households, which include many working families, seniors, and persons with disabilities. The City of Elk Grove assistance typically comes in the form of "gap" financing, which entails loans with below market interest rates and favorable terms in order to help assure the financial feasibility of such projects. Prior to the update in 2013, the fee was applied only to residential development and included a 3% administrative fee that went into a separate account within the Affordable Housing Fund. (A separate fee on non-residential development went into the Very Low Income Housing Trust Fund.) Currently, the fees are applied to both residential and non-residential development, consistent with the adopted levels for given types of uses, and the 3% administrative fee continues to be charged.

### Required Findings

- 1. The purpose of the affordable housing fee program is to fund a portion of the cost of affordable housing for low-income households, the need for which is generated by new residential and non-residential development in the City.
- 2. The reasonable relationship between the affordable housing fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *Affordable Housing Nexus Study* dated February 27, 2013.
- 3. In FY 2017/18, a loan commitment of \$5 million was made in support of the Bow Street Apartments, a 98-unit affordable housing project that is expected to complete construction in late 2018. Loan payments are tied to construction and leasing milestones and all loan proceeds are anticipated to be disbursed in FY 2018/19. Also, in FY 2017/18, the City made a loan commitment of \$5 million to support the construction of The Gardens at Quail Run, a proposed 96-unit affordable apartment complex; the project is currently in the process of securing other funding sources.

Affordable Housing Fee (Fund 231 - page 1 of 2) This citywide development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of housing to serve eligible income groups in the City.

	July 1, 2017 through	Jan.1 2018 through June
Land use	December 30, 2017	30, 2018
Single-Family	\$4,132 / unit	\$4,404 / unit
Multi-Family	\$2,479 / unit	\$2,642 / unit
Commercial	\$0.68 / sq ft	\$0.72 / sq ft
Hotel	\$2.01 /sq ft	\$2.14 / sq ft
Manufacturing	\$0.77 / sq ft	\$0.82 / sq ft
Warehouse	\$0.82 / sq ft	\$0.87 / sq ft

Note: Fees are adjusted every January 1 for inflation.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$73,203,743
Income Fees collected	\$2,792,424
CalHome HAP Loan Reimbursement	\$0
Interest earned and change in market value	\$10,321
Loan repayment interest	\$106,406
Other Revenues	<u>\$4,600</u>
Total	\$2,918,265

<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee</u>
Current year loans issued	\$0	<u>Funded in</u>
Homebuyer Assistance Program Loans	\$60,612	<u>FY18</u>
Professional and legal services	\$12,200	100%
Impact Fee Administration	\$8,190	100%
Salaries and benefits	\$85,923	100%
Total	\$166,925	100%
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 Assigned Fund Balance, June 30, 2018 Adjustment to Fund Balance, End of Year* Available Fund Balance as of June 30, 2018	\$75,955,083 \$63,967,417 <u>(\$2,123,133)</u> \$14,110,799	

### Affordable Housing Fee (Fund 231 - page 2 of 2)

Continued from previous page:

The following lists the outstanding loans that are funded by the Affordable Housing Fee collected and are excluded from the available fund balance:

Loan Receivables	<u>Amount</u>	Due Date & Int. Rate
Terracina Park Meadows (see Note 1)	\$1,996,476	see Note 1 below
*Agave Apartments	\$2,500,000	12/15/2036 & 3.5%
Vintage I at Laguna Apartments (see Note		
2)	\$2,124,835	see Note 2 below
Vintage II at Laguna Apartments	\$5,159,937	2/2/2041 & 4.0%
*The Crossings Apartments	\$8,959,930	3/1/2050 & 3.0%
*Waterman Square	\$7,052,829	12/30/2041 & 4.0%
*Stoneridge Apartments	\$8,225,620	3/1/2052 & 3.0%
Seasons Apartments	\$9,100,000	9/30/2052 & 3.0%
Montego Falls	\$4,150,000	3/31/2041 & 4.0%
Ridge Apartments	\$9,652,217	3/31/2049 & 4.0%
Avery Gardens	\$2,000,000	6/5/2050 & 4.0%
Bow Street Apartments – Phase I (9%)	\$300,000	12/31/2055 (est.) & 4.0%
Bow Street Apartments – Phase II (4%)	<u>\$4,700,000</u>	12/31/2055 (est.) & 4.0%
Total Loan Receivables	\$65,921,844	

Note 1: There are now two loans to the Terracina Park Meadows project. The \$946,476 loan is due no later than 12/31/39 and has 0% interest. The \$700,000 loan and \$350,000 loan combined in FY 15/16 to become one loan of \$1,050,000, which is due no later than 11/15/39 and has 4% interest.

Note 2: There are two loans to the Vintage I at Laguna project. In FY 15/16, the \$1,300,000 loan was adjusted to include unpaid interest as principal, and therefore reset to \$1,674,835; this loan is due no later than 5/15/2041 and has 3.5% interest. The \$450,000 loan is due no later than 12/31/2040 and has 0% interest.

\*The principals of these loans are repaid by residual receipts payments only and the payment is contingent upon the availability of funding.

Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
Bow Street Apartments	\$5,000,000	100%
Gardens at Quail Run Apartments	\$5,000,000	100%
Homebuyer Assistance Program	\$940,000	100%

City of Elk Grove FUND: 231 - Affordable Housing Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years										
Description	1	FY 2013/14	<u> </u>	FY 2014/15	ļ	FY 2015/16	<u> </u>	FY 2016/17	E	Y2017/18
Revenues										
Fees collected Cal Home HAP Loan Reimbursement	\$	1,894,357	\$	1,991,057 244,012	\$ \$		\$	1,262,349 261,746	\$ \$	2,796,938
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$	41,231	\$	55,742	\$	, -	\$	43.014	\$	10.321
Loan repayment interest	\$	99,387	\$	158,469	\$	204,310	\$	488,039	\$	106,406
Other Revenues	\$	-	\$	550,746	\$	2,365	\$	-	\$	4,600
Total Revenues	\$	2,034,975	\$	3,000,026	\$	3,497,094	\$	2,055,148	\$	2,918,265
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	49,597	\$	64,931	\$		\$	71,644	\$	106,312
AB 1600 Disbursements	\$	298,702	\$	2,204,429	\$	/	<u>&gt;</u>	118,995	<u></u>	60,613
Total Expenditures	\$	348,299	\$	2,269,360	\$	-,	\$	190,639	\$	166,925
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	1,686,676	\$	730,666	\$	2,768,311	\$	1,864,509	\$	2,751,340
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	71,006,870	\$	67,840,257	\$	68,570,923	\$	71,339,234	\$	73,203,743
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	72,693,546	\$	68,570,923	\$	71,339,234	\$	73,203,743	\$	75,955,083
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	67,840,257	\$	65,467,834	\$	65,777,701	\$	64,002,762	\$	63,967,417
Adjustment to Fund Balance, End of Year*	\$	-	\$	(1,875,919)	\$	(2,217,928)	\$	(2,155,603)	\$	(2,123,133)
Available Fund Balance [1]	\$	4,853,289	\$	4,979,008	\$	7,779,460	\$	11,356,584	\$	14,110,799

\*Note: The Adjustment to Fund Balance, End of Year, is to reflect principal loan repayments and disbursements. Negative adjustments reflect a net repayment of loan receivables. [1] Prior Period Adjustment

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

Capital improvement Projects										
		ject Amount				npact Fee		n-Impact Fee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects	E	Expended	by	Impact Fees	E>	penditures	E:	kpenditures		
Impact Fee Administration	\$	106,312		100%	\$	106,312	\$	-		
Loans issued	\$	-		100%	\$	-	\$	-		
CalHome HAP Loans	\$	60,613		100%	\$	60,613				
Total	\$	166,925			\$	166,925				
							1	Non-Impact		
	Pro	ject Amount	Per	cent Funded	h	mpact Fee		Fee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects	E	Expended	by	Impact Fees	E>	penditures	E	kpenditures		
Impact Fee Administration	\$	71,644		100%	\$	71,644	\$	-		
Loans issued	\$	-		100%	\$	-	\$	-		
CalHome HAP Loans	\$	118,995		100%	\$	118,995	\$	-		
Total	\$	190,639			\$	190,639				
Five Year F	Reve	nue Test Usi	ng F	First in First C	)ut l	Method				
	<u>F</u>	Y 2013/14	<u> </u>	Y 2014/15	F	<u>Y 2015/16</u>	<u> </u>	Y 2016/17	<u>F</u> `	<u> 2017/18</u>
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	2,034,975	\$	3,000,026	\$	5,715,022	\$	4,210,751	\$	2,918,265
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds	)\$	1,699,818	\$	1,978,982	\$	3,000,026	\$	5,715,022	\$	4,210,751
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds	· ·	1,118,496	\$	-	\$	1,282,340	\$	1,430,811	\$	5,715,022
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds	· ·	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,266,761
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal year		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Revenue Available	\$	4,853,289	\$	4,979,008	\$	7,779,460	\$	11,356,584	<b>\$</b> 1	4,110,799
<b>F</b> 1	V			D						
Five		•		Revenue Ma				V 2016/17	-	/2017/10
Expense Allocation Current Year	<u>5</u>	<u>Y 2013/14</u>	<u>۲</u> \$	Y 2014/15	_	<u>Y 2015/16</u>	-	Y 2016/17	<u>-</u> \$	<u> 2017/18</u>
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Fund	-	-	э \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	э \$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Fund		- 348,299	э \$	- 1,150,864	գ Տ	- 728,783	գ Տ	-	ֆ Տ	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Fund		540,299	φ \$	1,118,496	φ \$	720,705	\$	190,639	φ \$	166,925
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Fund		_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Ψ \$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal ye		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Annual Expenditures	\$ \$	348,299	<u>\$</u>	2,269,360	<u>\$</u>	728,783	<u>\$</u>	190,639	\$	166,925
•	•	-	•		•		φ	190,039	φ	100,925
Adopted 20		-	-	ovement Pro	-		_		_	/
		Y2018/19		FY2019/20		<u>Y 2020/21</u>	_	Y2021/22		(2022/23
Homebuyer Assistance Program	\$	940,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Gardens at Quail Run Apartments	\$	5,000,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Bow Street Apartments										
	\$	5,000,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

### Elk Grove Roadway Fee Program Overview

The Elk Grove Roadway Fee Program was first adopted by the City Council in 2003 (it included approximately 75% of the City) and was updated in 2005 (to include all areas of the City). A comprehensive update was approved by the City Council on May 13, 2009. The Railroad Overcrossings and ITS improvements, previously separate fee components of the CFF program, were consolidated into the Roadway Fee Program, and eliminated rural road improvements, except for the necessary intersection improvements and the arterial widening of major thoroughfares in the rural areas. In 2014 the Nexus Study was updated to include additional projects, funding for rural road improvements and certain trail facilities. The fee program includes a 2.75% administrative fee which is included in a separate account within the Elk Grove Roadway Fee Program Fund.

The 2014 Nexus Study identified the need for new roadway facilities. This fee program, as outlined in the Nexus Study, generally funds new development's share of the center lanes and medians of major roadways and funds major intersections, freeway interchanges, bridges, railroad overcrossings and ITS improvements, rural roads, and a portion of trail facilities. The estimated costs of the new facilities were included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$416 million in 2014 dollars. The Nexus Study, using 2014 dollars, identified \$290 million to be included in the fee program, and \$147 million in funding would come from a combination of funds such as roadway fees collected from prior years and other funding sources. After accounting for completed projects and other cost adjustments, \$90 million is needed from sources such as grants, Measure A, Gas Tax, Community Facilities Districts, etc. Existing deficiencies were identified in the Nexus Study and must be funded with other funding sources.

### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the Roadway Fee Program is to provide a funding mechanism to help the city maintain adequate LOS on its street system by funding the construction of new roadways and other transportation improvements, and widening or improving existing roadway improvements as identified in the City's traffic model and Capital Improvement Program.

2. The reasonable relationship between the roadway fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Update of the Roadway Fee Program Nexus Study* dated September 8, 2014 *effective November 23, 2014*.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing the roadway facilities are in the *City of Elk Grove Update of the Roadway Fee Program Nexus Study* dated September 8, 2014 *effective November 23, 2014* (shown in 2014 dollars). The sources of funding existing development's share are grants, Measure A, Gas Tax, Community Facilities Districts, etc.

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing some new facilities is shown in the *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program.

### Elk Grove Roadway Fee (Fund 328-page 1 of 5)

This citywide development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of certain roadway facilities in the City.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$19,422,528
Program Fees collected Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$8,200,778 \$689,784
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$71,329
Total	\$8,961,890

Amount DisbursementsFunded in From FeeProfessional Services $[1]$ \$123,425Professional Services $[1]$ \$123,425Capital Southeast JPA Membership\$35,000100%Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0137\$2,247,93463%Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138\$104,048100%Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A\$227100%Florin-W Camden WAC024\$35350%Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010\$210,59952%ITS Phase IV WTC001\$217,4128%Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015\$60,01467%Lower Laguna WTL022\$63,38326%New Growth Review WTP005\$3,939100%Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002\$32,1609%Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009\$9,904100%Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011\$14,80850%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Sheld C Channel WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Sheld C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration\$208,175100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$208,175100%Developer Fee Credi			<u>% Fee</u>
Professional Services $11$ \$123,425100%Capital Southeast JPA Membership\$35,000100%Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0137\$2,247,93463%Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138\$104,048100%Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A\$227100%Florin-W Camden WAC024\$35350%Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010\$210,59952%ITS Phase IV WTC001\$217,4128%Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015\$60,01467%Lower Laguna WTL022\$63,38326%New Growth Review WTP005\$3,939100%Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002\$32,1609%Whitelock Project Study Report WTR09\$9,904100%Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011\$14,80850%Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,7947%SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,83729%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$23,407,640		<u>Amount</u>	<u>Funded in</u>
Capital Southeast JPA Membership\$35,000100%Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0137\$2,247,934 $63\%$ Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138\$104,048100%Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A\$227100%Florin-W Camden WAC024\$35350%Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010\$210,59952%ITS Phase IV WTC001\$217,4128%Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015\$60,01467%Lower Laguna WTL022\$63,38326%New Growth Review WTP005\$3,939100%Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002\$32,1609%Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009\$9,904100%Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,7947%SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,83729%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$23,407,640		from Fee	<u>FY18</u>
Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0137 Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138 $\$2,247,934$ $63\%$ $\$104,048$ $100\%$ $\$104,048$ Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A $\$227$ $100\%$ $\$210,599$ $\$227$ $100\%$ $\$210,599$ Florin-W Camden WAC024 $\$353$ $50\%$ Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010 $\$210,599$ $52\%$ $\$27\%$ ITS Phase IV WTC001 $\$217,412$ $8\%$ Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015 $\$60,014$ $67\%$ $\$63,383$ Lower Laguna WTL022 $\$63,383$ $26\%$ $\$63,383$ $26\%$ New Growth Review WTP005 $\$3,939$ $100\%$ $\$7,3934$ $9,904$ Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002 $\$32,160$ $9\%$ $\$9,904$ Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009 $\$9,904$ $100\%$ $\$14,808$ Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026 $\$214,794$ $7\%$ $\$214,794$ SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027 $\$76,837$ $29\%$ $$380,787$ Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028 $\$43,351$ $100\%$ $\$100\%$ Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04 $\$693$ Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029 $\$326,601$ $100\%$ $\$208,175$ $100\%$ $\$208,175$ Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04 $\$693$ $100\%$ $\$208,175$ Impact Fee Administration [2] $\$926,601$ $100\%$ $\$4,976,905$ Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 $\$23,407,640$	Professional Services <sup>[1]</sup>	\$123,425	100%
Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138         \$104,048         100%           Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A         \$227         100%           Florin-W Camden WAC024         \$353         50%           Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010         \$210,599         52%           ITS Phase IV WTC001         \$217,412         8%           Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015         \$60,014         67%           Lower Laguna WTL022         \$63,383         26%           New Growth Review WTP005         \$3,939         100%           Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002         \$32,160         9%           Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009         \$9,904         100%           Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011         \$14,808         50%           Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026         \$214,794         7%           SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027         \$76,837         29%           Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028         \$43,351         100%           Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029         \$380,787         6%           General Plan Traffic Study WTR033         \$2,461         100%           Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04         \$693         100%           Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup>	Capital Southeast JPA Membership	\$35,000	100%
Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A $\$227$ 100%Florin-W Camden WAC024 $\$353$ 50%Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010 $\$210,599$ 52%ITS Phase IV WTC001 $\$217,412$ 8%Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015 $\$60,014$ 67%Lower Laguna WTL022 $\$63,383$ 26%New Growth Review WTP005 $\$3,939$ 100%Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002 $\$32,160$ 9%Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009 $\$9,904$ 100%Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011 $\$14,808$ 50%Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026 $$214,794$ 7%SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027 $\$76,837$ 29%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028 $\$43,351$ 100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029 $\$380,787$ 6%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033 $\$2,461$ 100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04 $\$693$ 100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> $\$926,601$ 100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity $\$208,175$ 100%Total $\$4,976,905$ $\$4,976,905$	Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0137	\$2,247,934	63%
Florin-W Camden WAC024\$353 $50\%$ Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010\$210,599 $52\%$ ITS Phase IV WTC001\$217,412 $8\%$ Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015\$60,014 $67\%$ Lower Laguna WTL022\$63,383 $26\%$ New Growth Review WTP005\$3,939 $100\%$ Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002\$32,160 $9\%$ Whitelock Project Study Report WTR09\$9,904 $100\%$ Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011\$14,808 $50\%$ Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,794 $7\%$ SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,837 $29\%$ Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351 $100\%$ Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,787 $6\%$ General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461 $100\%$ Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693 $100\%$ Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601 $100\%$ Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175 $100\%$ Total\$4,976,905\$23,407,640	Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138	\$104,048	100%
Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010 $\$210,599$ $52\%$ ITS Phase IV WTC001 $\$217,412$ $8\%$ Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015 $\$60,014$ $67\%$ Lower Laguna WTL022 $\$63,383$ $26\%$ New Growth Review WTP005 $\$3,939$ $100\%$ Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002 $\$32,160$ $9\%$ Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009 $\$9,904$ $100\%$ Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011 $\$14,808$ $50\%$ Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026 $\$214,794$ $7\%$ SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027 $\$76,837$ $29\%$ Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028 $\$43,351$ $100\%$ Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029 $\$380,787$ $6\%$ General Plan Traffic Study WTR033 $\$2,461$ $100\%$ Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04 $\$693$ $100\%$ Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> $\$926,601$ $100\%$ Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity $\$208,175$ $100\%$ Total $\$4,976,905$ $\$23,407,640$	Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A	\$227	100%
ITS Phase IV WTC001 $\$217,412$ $8\%$ Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015 $\$60,014$ $67\%$ Lower Laguna WTL022 $\$63,383$ $26\%$ New Growth Review WTP005 $\$3,939$ $100\%$ Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002 $\$32,160$ $9\%$ Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009 $\$9,904$ $100\%$ Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011 $\$14,808$ $50\%$ Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026 $\$214,794$ $7\%$ SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027 $\$76,837$ $29\%$ Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028 $\$43,351$ $100\%$ Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029 $\$380,787$ $6\%$ General Plan Traffic Study WTR033 $\$2,461$ $100\%$ Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04 $\$926,601$ $100\%$ Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> $\$926,601$ $100\%$ Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity $\$208,175$ $100\%$ Total $\$4,976,905$ $\$43,976,905$ $\$43,976,905$	Florin-W Camden WAC024	\$353	50%
Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015\$60,014 $67\%$ Lower Laguna WTL022\$63,383 $26\%$ New Growth Review WTP005\$3,939 $100\%$ Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002\$32,160 $9\%$ Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009\$9,904 $100\%$ Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011\$14,808 $50\%$ Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,794 $7\%$ SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,837 $29\%$ Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351 $100\%$ Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,787 $6\%$ General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461 $100\%$ Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693 $100\%$ Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601 $100\%$ Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175 $100\%$ Total\$4,976,905\$23,407,640	Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010	\$210,599	52%
Lower Laguna WTL022         \$63,383         26%           New Growth Review WTP005         \$3,939         100%           Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002         \$32,160         9%           Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009         \$9,904         100%           Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011         \$14,808         50%           Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026         \$214,794         7%           SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027         \$76,837         29%           Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028         \$43,351         100%           Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029         \$380,787         6%           General Plan Traffic Study WTR033         \$2,461         100%           Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04         \$693         100%           Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601         100%           Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity         \$208,175         100%           Total         \$4,976,905         \$23,407,640	ITS Phase IV WTC001	\$217,412	8%
New Growth Review WTP005         \$3,939         100%           Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002         \$32,160         9%           Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009         \$9,904         100%           Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011         \$14,808         50%           Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026         \$214,794         7%           SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027         \$76,837         29%           Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028         \$43,351         100%           Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029         \$380,787         6%           General Plan Traffic Study WTR033         \$2,461         100%           Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04         \$693         100%           Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601         100%           Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity         \$208,175         100%           Total         \$4,976,905         \$44,976,905	Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015	\$60,014	67%
Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002\$32,1609%Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009\$9,904100%Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011\$14,80850%Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,7947%SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,83729%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$23,407,640	Lower Laguna WTL022	\$63,383	26%
Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009\$9,904100%Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011\$14,80850%Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,7947%SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,83729%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$23,407,640	New Growth Review WTP005	\$3,939	100%
Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011\$14,80850%Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,7947%SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,83729%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905	Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002	\$32,160	9%
Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026\$214,7947%SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,83729%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$23,407,640	Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009	\$9,904	100%
SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027\$76,83729%Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905	Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd. at Quail Rd. WTR011	\$14,808	50%
Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028\$43,351100%Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905Ending Balance, June 30, 2018\$23,407,640	Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026	\$214,794	7%
Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029\$380,7876%General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905Ending Balance, June 30, 2018\$23,407,640	SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027	\$76,837	29%
General Plan Traffic Study WTR033\$2,461100%Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905Ending Balance, June 30, 2018\$23,407,640	Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028	\$43,351	100%
Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04\$693100%Impact Fee Administration <sup>[2]</sup> \$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905Ending Balance, June 30, 2018\$23,407,640	Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029	\$380,787	6%
Impact Fee Administration\$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905Ending Balance, June 30, 2018\$23,407,640	General Plan Traffic Study WTR033	\$2,461	100%
Impact Fee Administration\$926,601100%Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity\$208,175100%Total\$4,976,905\$4,976,905Ending Balance, June 30, 2018\$23,407,640	Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04	\$693	100%
Total       \$4,976,905         Ending Balance, June 30, 2018       \$23,407,640		\$926,601	100%
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 \$23,407,640	Developer Fee Credit Agreement Activity	\$208,175	100%
	Total	\$4,976,905	
	Ending Balance June 30, 2018	\$23 407 640	
	<b>v</b>		

Adjusted Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 \$28,153,557 [1] Professional Services are for processing and auditing infrastructure reimbursement binders for roadway infrastructure in the Elk Grove Roadway Fee Program [2] Impact Fee Administration is primarily for two sources of expenditures: Public Works project management and cost allocation

[3] Ending balance adjusted to reflect roadway fee credits issued to developers for construction of public facilities. There is no obligation to issue cash for these remaining fee credit balances.

### Elk Grove Roadway Fee (Fund 328-page 2 of 5)

Continued from previous page

		<u>% Fee</u>
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	Amount	<u>Funded in</u> FY19
Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0137	\$3,898,963	72%
Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138	\$801,185	98%
Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010	\$275,440	9%
Arterial Rd. Rehab WPR014	\$275,000	27%
EG - Florin Rd. at W. Camden Dr. Sidewalk Infill WAC024	\$42,862	64%
Bike/Ped Master Plan Update WAM006	\$170,000	68%
ITS Phase IV WTC001	\$185	<1%
Bond Rd. Median Elk Crest and Emerald Crest Dr. WTC015	\$1,004,358	40%
Laguna Crk Trail/Bruceville Rd. Improvements WTL019	\$131,913	10%
Laguna Creek Open Space Trail WTL022	\$352,729	13%
New Growth Review WTP005	\$96,061	100%
Transportation Minor Improvements WTR000	\$100,000	67%
Grant Line Widening WTR002	\$1,374,220	29%
Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009	\$5,033,454	100%
Grant Line Rd. Widening Phase 3 WTR010	\$100,000	100%
Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd./Quail WTR011	\$302,692	64%
Kammerer Rd. 4 Lane extension Lent Ranch WTR014	\$3,100,000	15%
Kammerer Rd. Widening WTR017	\$3,400,000	100%
Big Horn Blvd Los Rios College To Bilby Rd. WTR026	\$1,948,109	28%
SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027	\$2,186,997	32%
Grant Line / Sheldon Area Feasibility Study WTR028	\$449,132	100%
Bilby Rd. from Bruceville Rd. to Shed C Channel WTR029	\$2,554,624	35%
Grant Line Rd. Crossing Landscaping WTR030	\$903,327	100%
Grant Line Rd. Improvements Phase I WTR034	\$873,000	100%
Sheldon to Waterman Signalization WTR047	\$60,000	100%
Sheldon to Waterman WTR054	\$50,000	100%
Interchange ROW Transfer WTRA04	<u>\$126,307</u>	100%
Total Planned Projects for FY 2017/18	\$29,610,558	

Note: Total planned projects for FY 2018/19 reflects projected budgeted obligations including budget + encumbrances carried over from the prior year

Elk Grove Roadway Fee (Fund 328-page 3 of 5)

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 328 - Elk Grove Roadway Fee Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Description	FY 2013/14	FY 2014/15	FY 2015/16	FY 2016/17	FY2017/18
Revenues					
Program Fees collected	\$ 3,354,417 \$	4,243,629	\$ 4,856,502	\$ 2,664,579	\$ 8,200,778
Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$ 397,949 \$	184,983	\$ 199,485	\$ 933,452	\$ 689,784
Fee Credit Reimbursement	\$-\$	-	\$-	\$ 2,618,688	
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$ 327,817 \$	367,335	\$ 748,170	\$ (21,992)	\$ 71,329
Other revenue	<u>\$265,984</u> \$	-	<u>\$ 700,466</u>	<u>\$ 549,798</u>	<u>\$</u> -
Total Revenues	\$4,346,167	\$4,795,947	\$6,504,623	\$ 6,744,524	\$ 8,961,890
Expenditures					
Developer Fee Reimbursement	\$ 1,211,995 \$	2,303,529	\$ 1,574,845	\$ (35,500)	\$ 208,175
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 536,569 \$	3,812,581	\$ 958,824	\$ 622,350	\$ 926,601
AB1600 Expenditures	<u>\$    5,478,253</u> <b>[1]</b> <u>\$</u>	(1,597,942)	<u>\$ 5,363,334</u>	<u>\$ 6,944,324</u>	<u>\$ 3,842,129</u>
Total Expenditures	\$ 7,226,817 \$	4,518,168	\$ 7,897,003	\$ 7,531,174	\$ 4,976,905
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$ (2,880,650) \$	277,779	\$ (1,392,380)	\$ (786,650)	\$ 3,984,985
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$ 24,204,555 \$	21,323,905	\$ 21,601,684	\$ 20,209,304	\$ 19,422,655
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$ 21,323,905 \$	21,601,684	\$ 20,209,304	\$ 19,422,655	\$ 23,407,640
Adjustment for Loan Receivables and Fee Credits	\$ 12,329,385 \$	11,492,035	\$ 11,122,608	\$ 5,390,224	\$ 4,745,917
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$ 33,653,290 \$	33,093,719	\$ 31,331,912	\$ 24,812,879	\$ 28,153,557

[1] Note: Negative expense for reclassification of expenditures to Fund 302 (federal grants) to cover expenses for a project that occurred prior to FY2014-15. As a result, the City transferred qualified expenditures from Fund 328 (Roadway) to Fund 302 (Federal Grants). The related revenue was recorded to fund 302 to offset the transferred expenses upon receipt of state grant funds.

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

	Project	Percent Funded	Ir	npact Fee	Non-Impact Fee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Amount	by Impact Fees	Ex	penditures	Expenditures		
Professional Services	\$ 123,425	100%	\$	123,425			
Capital Southeast JPA Membership	\$ 35,000	100%	\$	35,000			
Bradshaw/Sheldon Improvements PT0137	\$ 3,548,816	63%	\$	2,247,934	\$	1,300,882	
Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138	\$ 104,048	100%	\$	104,048	\$	-	
Grantline Blvd. Widening PT034A	\$ 227	100%	\$	227	\$	-	
Florin-W Camden WAC024	\$ 705	50%	\$	353	\$	353	
Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010	\$ 403,167	52%	\$	210,599	\$	192,568	
ITS Phase IV WTC001	\$ 2,614,178	8%	\$	217,412	\$	2,396,765	
Bond Media/E. Stock Blvd WTC015	\$ 90,014	67%	\$	60,014	\$	30,000	
Lower Laguna WTL022	\$ 246,557	26%	\$	63,383	\$	183,174	
New Growth Review WTP005	\$ 3,939	100%	\$	3,939	\$	-	
Grant Line Rd Widening WTR002	\$ 351,438	9%	\$	32,160	\$	319,278	
Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009	\$ 9,904	100%	\$	9,904	\$	-	
Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Road at Quail Rd. WTR011	\$ 29,734	50%	\$	14,808	\$	14,926	
Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026	\$ 2,870,262	7%	\$	214,794	\$	2,655,468	
SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027	\$ 264,244	29%	\$	76,837	\$	187,407	
Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028	\$ 43,351	100%	\$	43,351	\$	-	
Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029	\$ 5,859,267	6%	\$	380,787	\$	5,478,480	
General Plan Traffic Study WTR033	\$ 2,461	100%	\$	2,461	\$	-	
Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04	\$ 693	100%	\$	693	\$	-	
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 926,601	100%	\$	926,601	\$	-	
Developer Fee Reimbursement	\$ 208,175	100%	\$	208,175	\$	-	
Total	\$ 17,736,207		\$	4,976,905			

### Elk Grove Roadway Fee (Fund 328-page 4 of 5)

### Continued from previous page

			_							
		Project		cent Funded		npact Fee		n-Impact Fee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects	_	Amount	by	Impact Fees		penditures		xpenditures		
Bond/Bader Intersection Improvements PT0134	\$	3,995		100%	\$	3,995	\$	-		
Bradshaw/Sheldon Improvements PT0137	\$	696,236		47%	\$	330,256	\$	365,980		
Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138 Grantline Blvd. Widening/Bradshaw PT034A	\$ \$	2,307,893		88%	\$ \$	2,029,876	\$ \$	278,017		
EG-Florin @ Camden Sidewalk WAC009	ъ \$	38,603 5,423		100% 33%	ъ \$	38,603 1,793	э \$	- 3,631		
Florin/W. Camden WAC024	\$	26,473		52%	\$	13,687	\$	12,786		
Surplus Property WMI004	\$	3,797		100%	\$	3,797	\$	-		
Waterman Rehab/Bike - Bond to Sheldon WPR010	\$	261,571		11%	\$	30,022	\$	231,549		
ITS Phase IV WTC001	\$	103,425		73%	\$	75,120	\$	28,305		
Bond Median/E. Stockton Blvd. WTC015	\$	24,628		100%	\$	24,628	\$	0		
Lower Laguna WTL022	\$	63,470		8%	\$	5,006	\$	58,464		
Grant Line Rd. Widening WTR002	\$	115,200		3%	\$	3,894	\$	111,306		
Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009	\$	87,266		100%	\$	87,266	\$	(0)		
Kammerer Rd Extension/Widening Enviro WTR015	\$	741,788		0%	\$	799	\$	740,989		
Big Horn - Los Rios WTR026	\$	1,954,117		42%	\$	827,236	\$	1,126,881		
SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027	φ \$	2,125,876		100%	\$	2,121,204	\$	4,672		
Grant Line/Sheldon Area Feasibility WTR028	э \$	164,966		100%	э \$	164,966	\$	4,072		
Bilby/Bruceville Rd. Shed C Channel WTR029	\$	1,329,551		78%	\$	1,038,979	\$	290,572		
Grantline Xing Landscaping WTR030	\$	31,673		100%	\$	31,673	\$	- 200,072		
PSR St. Rte. 99-EG Blvd. to Calvine WTR032 [1]	\$	103,866		100%	\$	103,866	\$	-		
Interchange Right of Way Transfer WTRA04	\$	7,659		100%	\$	7,659	\$	-		
Impact Fee Administration and Project Mgmt.	\$	622,350		100%	\$	622,350	\$	-		
Developer Credit Removal and Surety Bond Recording	\$	(2,654,188)		1%	\$	(35,500)	\$	(2,618,688)		
Total	\$	8,165,638			\$	7,531,174	\$	634,464		
	•	-,,			•	.,	+	,		
Fire Veen Berry and Test Using First in First Out Met		V 0040/44	_	W 004 4/4 F	_	N 0045/40		V 0040/47		
Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Met				Y 2014/15	_	<u>Y 2015/16</u>		<u>EY 2016/17</u>		FY2017/18
Aurilable Devreue Current Veen	\$	4,346,167	\$	4,795,947	\$	6,504,623	\$	6,744,524	\$	8,961,890
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	2,642,273	\$	4,346,167	\$	4,795,947	\$	6,504,623	\$	6,744,524
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	4,891,430	\$	2,642,273	\$	4,346,167	\$	4,795,947	\$	6,504,623
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	2,648,165	\$	4,891,430	\$	2,642,273	\$	4,346,167	\$	4,795,947
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	•	14,175,074	\$	2,648,165	\$	4,891,430	\$	2,421,617	\$	1,146,572
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	4,950,181	\$	13,769,737	\$	8,151,472	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	33,653,290	\$	33,093,719	\$	31,331,912	\$	24,812,879	\$	28,153,557
Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match	F	Y 2013/14	E	Y 2014/15	E	Y 2015/16	E	Y 2016/17		FY2017/18
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,555,288
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	7,226,817	\$	4,518,168	\$	7,897,003	\$	7,531,174	\$	2,421,617
	-			1 540 400			-		-	1 0 2 0 0 0 2

Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years \$ 7,226,817 \$ 4,518,168 \$ 7,897,003

4,976,905

\$

7,531,174

\$

## Elk Grove Roadway Fee (Fund 328-page 5 of 5)

### Continued from previous page

FY2019/19         FY2019/20         FY2022/21         FY2021/22         FY2022/23           Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0138         \$ 3,898,963         \$ -	Adopte	d 201	8-2023 Capital	Imp	rovement Pro	gran	n (CIP)				
Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138       \$       801,185       \$       -       \$		ļ	FY2018/19		FY2019/20	<u> </u>	Y 2020/21	FY2	021/22	FY2022/23	
Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010       \$       275,440       \$       -       \$	Bradshaw/Sheldon Intersection Improvements PT0137	\$	3,898,963	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Arterial Rd. Rehab WPR014       \$       275,000       \$       745,000       \$       -	Sheldon/Waterman Intersection Improvements PT0138	\$	801,185	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
EG Florin Rd./W. Camden Dr. Sidewalk Infill WAC024       \$       42,862       \$       -       \$	Waterman Rd Rehabilitation and Bike Lanes WPR010	\$	275,440	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Bike/Ped Master Plan Update WAM006       \$       170,000       \$       - <td>Arterial Rd. Rehab WPR014</td> <td>\$</td> <td>275,000</td> <td>\$</td> <td>745,000</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td>	Arterial Rd. Rehab WPR014	\$	275,000	\$	745,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
ITS Phase IV WTC001       \$       185       \$       -       \$       \$       -       \$       -       \$       \$       \$       \$	EG Florin Rd./W. Camden Dr. Sidewalk Infill WAC024	\$	42,862	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Bond Rd. Elk Crest Dr. and Emerald Crest Dr. WTC015       \$         1,004,358       \$         -         \$         -	Bike/Ped Master Plan Update WAM006	\$	170,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Laguna Creek Trail and Bruceville Rd. SRTS WTL019       \$       131,913       \$       189,700       \$       -	ITS Phase IV WTC001	\$	185	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Laguna Creek Open Space Trail WTL022       \$ 352,729       \$ -	Bond Rd. Elk Crest Dr. and Emerald Crest Dr. WTC015	\$	1,004,358	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
New Growth Review WTP005       \$       96,061       \$       -       \$       \$       -       \$       \$       -       \$       \$       -       \$       \$       -       \$       \$       -       \$       >       \$       >       \$       >       \$       >       \$       \$       \$       \$       \$ <t< td=""><td>Laguna Creek Trail and Bruceville Rd. SRTS WTL019</td><td>\$</td><td>131,913</td><td>\$</td><td>189,700</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td></t<>	Laguna Creek Trail and Bruceville Rd. SRTS WTL019	\$	131,913	\$	189,700	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Transportation Minor Improvements WTR000       \$         100,000       \$         -         \$         -	Laguna Creek Open Space Trail WTL022	\$	352,729	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Grant Line Widening WTR002       \$ <ul> <li>1,374,220</li> <li>-</li> <li>-&lt;</li></ul>	New Growth Review WTP005	\$	96,061	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009       \$       5,033,454       \$       -       \$ <td< td=""><td>Transportation Minor Improvements WTR000</td><td>\$</td><td>100,000</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td></td<>	Transportation Minor Improvements WTR000	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Grant Line Rd. Widening Phase 3 WTR010       \$       100,000       \$       -       \$	Grant Line Widening WTR002	\$	1,374,220	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd./Quail WTR011       \$ 302,692       \$ -	Whitelock Project Study Report WTR009	\$	5,033,454	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Kammerer Rd. 4 Lane extension Lent Ranch WTR014       \$ 3,100,000       \$ -       \$ 7,100,000       \$ - <t< td=""><td>Grant Line Rd. Widening Phase 3 WTR010</td><td>\$</td><td>100,000</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td></t<>	Grant Line Rd. Widening Phase 3 WTR010	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Kammerer Rd. Widening WTR017       \$ 3,400,000       \$ -       \$ 5,400,000       \$ - <t< td=""><td>Bruceville &amp; Poppy Ridge Rd./Quail WTR011</td><td>\$</td><td>302,692</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td></t<>	Bruceville & Poppy Ridge Rd./Quail WTR011	\$	302,692	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Big Horn Blvd Los Rios To Bilby Rd. WTR026       \$ <ol> <li>1,948,109</li> <li>-</li> <li>-</li></ol>	Kammerer Rd. 4 Lane extension Lent Ranch WTR014	\$	3,100,000	\$	-	\$	7,100,000	\$	-	\$	-
SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027       \$ 2,186,997       \$ - <td< td=""><td>Kammerer Rd. Widening WTR017</td><td>\$</td><td>3,400,000</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>5,400,000</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td></td<>	Kammerer Rd. Widening WTR017	\$	3,400,000	\$	-	\$	5,400,000	\$	-	\$	-
Grant Line / Sheldon Area Feasibility Study WTR028       \$ 449,132       \$ - </td <td>Big Horn Blvd Los Rios To Bilby Rd. WTR026</td> <td>\$</td> <td>1,948,109</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td>	Big Horn Blvd Los Rios To Bilby Rd. WTR026	\$	1,948,109	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Bilby Rd. from Bruceville Rd. to Shed C WTR029       \$ 2,554,624       \$ - <td>SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027</td> <td>\$</td> <td>2,186,997</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td>	SEPA Roadway ROW Acquisition WTR027	\$	2,186,997	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Grant Line Rd. Crossing Landscaping WTR030       \$ 903,327       \$ -	Grant Line / Sheldon Area Feasibility Study WTR028	\$	449,132	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Grant Line Road Improvements Phase I WTR034       \$ 873,000       \$ -       \$ 2,233,000       \$ -<	Bilby Rd. from Bruceville Rd. to Shed C WTR029	\$	2,554,624	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sheldon to Waterman Signalization WTR047       \$ 60,000       \$ 415,000       \$ -	Grant Line Rd. Crossing Landscaping WTR030	\$	903,327	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sheldon to Waterman WTR054         \$ 50,000         \$ - <th< td=""><td>Grant Line Road Improvements Phase I WTR034</td><td>\$</td><td>873,000</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>2,233,000</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td><td>\$</td><td>-</td></th<>	Grant Line Road Improvements Phase I WTR034	\$	873,000	\$	-	\$	2,233,000	\$	-	\$	-
Interchange Right of Way WTRA04 <u>\$ 126,307</u> <u>\$ - </u> <u>\$ - </u> <u>\$ - </u>	Sheldon to Waterman Signalization WTR047	\$	60,000	\$	415,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	Sheldon to Waterman WTR054	\$	50,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total <u>\$ 29,610,558</u> <u>\$ 1,349,700</u> <u>\$ 14,733,000</u> <u>\$ -</u> <u>\$ -</u>	Interchange Right of Way WTRA04	\$	126,307	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	Total	\$	29,610,558	\$	1,349,700	\$	14,733,000	\$	-	\$	-

Roadway Fee Program Fee Schedul		T			1	Jer 31, 2017)
	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	
Land Use Category	(Elk Grove)	(Laguna)	(Laguna West)	(Lakeside)	(Stonelake)	Unit of Measure
RESIDENTIAL						
Single Family (1-2 units)	\$8,408	\$7,059	\$6,154	\$4,355	\$8,218	per unit
Single Family Age-Restricted	\$3,259	\$2,796	\$2,585	\$2,043	\$3,203	per unit
Single Family TOD	\$7,569	\$6,353	\$5,540	\$3,921	\$7,396	per unit
Multifamily	\$5,842	\$4,779	\$4,288	\$3,047	\$5,713	per unit
Multifamily Age-Restricted	\$3,247	\$2,783	\$2,573	\$2,032	\$3,190	per unit
Multifamily TOD	\$4,381	\$3,581	\$3,216	\$2,283	\$4,286	per unit
COMMERCIAL						
General Commercial	\$7.61	\$5.50	\$5.28	\$2.40	\$7.40	per building sqft
General Commercial TOD*	\$7.19	\$5.17	\$4.97	\$2.25	\$6.99	per building sqft
Car Sales (new and used)	\$9.54	\$7.23	\$7.04	\$3.97	\$9.28	per building sqft
OFFICE						
Office	\$7.23	\$5.18	\$5.69	\$2.67	\$7.04	per building sqft
Office TOD	\$6.49	\$4.65	\$5.12	\$2.39	\$6.32	per building sqft
INDUSTRIAL	\$5.18	\$4.14	\$4.11	\$2.85	\$5.07	per building sqft
INSTITUTIONAL						
Church	\$5.09	\$4.25	\$3.70	\$2.65	\$4.99	per building sq. ft.
Day/Child Care (pre-school and adults too)	\$18.86	\$15.85	\$13.84	\$9.82	\$18.56	per building sq. ft.
Private School (K-12)	\$6.98	\$5.86	\$5.12	\$3.62	\$6.86	
MISCELLANEOUS						
Gas Station	\$ 10,168	\$ 7,860	\$ 7,643	\$ 4,548	\$ 9,967	per fueling station
Hotel/Motel	\$ 3,681	\$ 3,519	\$ 2,561	\$ 1,192		per room
Congregate Care Facility	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.38		per building sq. ft.
Health Club	\$ 5.01	\$ 4.19	\$ 3.68	\$ 2.60		per building sq. ft.
Library	\$ 3.48	\$ 2.93	\$ 2.54	\$ 1.78		per building sq. ft.
	,	,	, 1.01	,		

### Roadway Fee Program Fee Schedule for First Half of FY 2017/18 (July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017)

Kuauway ree riogiaili	Roadway Fee Program Fee Schedule for January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018										
		Zone 1	Z	one 2		Zone 3		one 4		Zone 5	
Land Use Category	(El	k Grove)	(L	aguna)	(L	aguna West)	(La	keside)	(St	onelake)	Unit of Measure
RESIDENTIAL											
	<b>_</b>	0.405	<b></b>	7 0 4 7	<b>_</b>	0.007	<b>^</b>	4 000	<u>م</u>	0.050	
Single Family (1-2 units)	\$	9,465		7,947	\$	6,927	\$	4,902			per unit
Single Family Age-Restricted	\$	3,669		3,147	\$	2,911	\$	2,300		,	per unit
Single Family TOD	\$	8,521	\$	7,152	\$	6,237	\$	4,414	\$	8,327	per unit
Multifamily	\$	6,577	\$	5,380	\$	4,827	\$	3,430	\$	6,431	per unit
Multifamily Age-Restricted	\$	3,656		3,134	\$	2,897	\$	2,288	\$	3,592	per unit
Multifamily TOD	\$	4,932		4,031	\$	3,621	\$	2,571	\$	4,825	
COMMERCIAL											
General Commercial	<b>^</b>	8.57	\$	6.19	\$	5.95	¢	2.70	¢.	0.00	n an buildin a anft
	\$				· ·		\$		\$		per building sqft
General Commercial TOD*	\$	8.10	\$	5.82	\$	5.60	\$	2.54	\$	7.87	per building sqft
Car Sales (new and used)	\$	10.74	\$	8.15	\$	7.92	\$	4.47	\$	10.45	per building sqft
OFFICE											
Office	\$	8.15	\$	5.83	\$	6.41	\$	3.01	\$	7.92	per building sqft
Office TOD	\$	7.32	\$	5.24	\$	5.76	\$	2.69	\$	7.11	per building sqft
INDUSTRIAL	\$	5.83	\$	4.66	\$	4.62	\$	3.21	\$	5.70	per building sqft
INSTITUTIONAL											
Church	\$	5.72	\$	4.79	\$	4.16	\$	2.98	\$	5.62	per building sq. ft.
Day/Child Care (pre-school and adults too)	\$	21.24	\$	17.85	\$	15.58	\$	11.06	\$	20.89	per building sq. ft.
Private School (K-12)	\$	7.85	\$	6.60	\$	5.76	\$	4.07	\$	7.73	per building sq. ft.
MISCELLANEOUS											
Gas Station	\$	11,447	\$	8,849	\$	8,604	\$	5,120	\$	11,220	per fueling station
Hotel/Motel	\$	4,144	\$	3,962	\$	2,882	\$	1,342	\$	4,037	per room
Congregate Care Facility	\$	0.81	φ \$	0.68	\$	0.61	φ \$	0.43	\$	0.80	
Health Club	\$	5.64	φ \$	4.72	\$	4.14	φ \$	2.93	φ \$		per building sq. ft.
	\$	3.92	φ \$	3.30	\$	2.86	φ \$	2.93	\$ \$		per building sq. ft.
Library	φ	J.92	φ	3.30	φ	2.00	φ	2.00	φ	J.04	per building sq. It.

Roadway Fee Program Fee Schedule for January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018

The January 1, 2018, rate increase over the 2017 rate was adjusted by 12.58%, pursuant to the 3Q 2017 Highway Construction Cost Index year-over-year increase published by the California Department of Transportation. The City utilizes a 3-year trailing average in order to smooth out fluctuations in price indices year-over-year.

### CCSD Fire Fee Program Overview

The CCSD Fire Fee Program was first adopted by the City Council in 2007 on behalf of the Cosumnes Community Services District (CSD). An updated Nexus study was adopted by City Council in December 2010. The fee program boundaries include much of the CSD's jurisdiction, some of which is outside the City limits. In addition to the City, the fee program was adopted by the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors and the City of Galt on behalf of the CSD to ensure new development in the County and Galt pay their fair share of new fire facilities. The Nexus Study identified the need for new fire related facilities including fire stations; administrative, maintenance, and training buildings; equipment; and financing costs for both new and existing facilities and equipment. The Nexus Study, using 2009 dollars, identified \$69.8 million to be included in the fee program, \$35.2 of which was located in the City of Elk Grove Zones.

The CCSD Fire Fee Program includes a 3% administrative fee which is divided equally between the CSD and the City. The CSD's half of the administrative fee is included in a separate account within the CCSD Fire Fee Program Fund. The CCSD Fire Fee Program Fund is a liability account with the City and funds are provided to the CSD on a quarterly basis. The City's half of the administrative fee is included in a separate account and is retained by the City to cover the administrative costs associated with this fee program.

### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the fire fee program is to provide new development's share of funding for fire facilities as required at build out of the City.

2. The reasonable relationship between the fire fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *Cosumnes Community Services District Nexus Study* dated January 10, 2007, effective August 23, 2010.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing the fire facilities are in the *Cosumnes Community Services District Nexus Study* dated January 10, 2007, effective August 23, 2010. The source of funding existing development's share is primarily the CSD's General Fund.

4. The approximate dates for funding and constructing new facilities are shown in the CSD's *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program. The City's administrative expenditures are ongoing in the fee program and are included in the current City Budget.

## Elk Grove Fire Fee Program (Fund 710)

This citywide development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of fire protection facilities. The fee program boundaries extend beyond the City and was also adopted by Sacramento County. The administrative revenues are split with the CSD.

City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	<u> </u>	
Revenue Fees collected by the City Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss by the City Total Revenue	\$1,945,491 (\$9,428) \$1,936,063	
<u>Disbursements</u> Passed through to the Cosumnes CSD Total Expended Funds	<u>Amount</u> \$1,339,906 \$1,339,906	<u>% Fee</u> <u>Funded in</u> <u>FY18</u> 100%
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 Adjustment to Ending Balance* Adjusted City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$734,458 <u>(\$734,458)</u> \$0	

\*Note: Adjustment to City's Ending Balance reflects final disbursement to CSD after June 30, 2018.

Note: The following information is provided by the Consumnes Community Services District. The City does not audit or verify the information provided.

CSD's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	CSD \$3,327,054	
Revenue Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Passed through from County of Sacramento Passed through to Cosumnes CSD Total Revenue	\$49,957 \$9,080 <u>\$1,904,060</u> \$1,960,097	
Disbursements Station 72 Debt Payment	<u>Amount</u> \$302,772	<u>% Fee Funded</u> in FY18 100%

Station 73 Debt Payment	\$59,674	100%
Station 76 Debt Payment	\$73,185	100%
Fleet Maintenance Facility Debt Payment	\$377,366	38%
Training Ground Carport	\$2,706	38%
Total Expended Funds	\$815,703	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$4,471,448	
Planned Cosumnes CSD Projects for 18/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
Planned Cosumnes CSD Projects for 18/19 Station 72 Debt Payment	<u>Amount</u> \$302,772	<u>% Fee Funded</u> 100%
Station 72 Debt Payment	\$302,772	100%
Station 72 Debt Payment Station 73 Debt Payment	\$302,772 \$59,674	100% 100%

#### City Administration (Fund 329 sub-account 02-03)

Fund 329 includes revenues to fund the City's administrative expenses for the Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program, Elk Grove Fire Fee Program and Measure A Transportation Fee Program as shown in this report. The expenses include but are not limited to fee collection and accounting. Sub-account 02-03 funds the Elk Grove Fire Fee Program administrative expenses incurred by the City.

The total administrative fee is 3% of the base fee for this fee program which is split between the City and the Elk Grove CSD.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$85,863	
Fees collected by the City Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total	\$29,737 <u>\$173</u> \$29,910	
<u>Disbursements</u> Impact Fee Administration Total Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	<u>Amount</u> <u>\$6,827</u> \$6,827 \$108,946	<u>% Fee</u> <u>Funded in</u> <u>FY18</u> 28%
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee</u> <u>Funded in</u> <u>FY19</u>

#### Impact Fee Administration

#### 28%

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 329 sub-account 02-03 CSD Fire Fee Admin Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Description	<u>FY</u>	2013/14	<u>FY</u>	<u>′ 2014/15</u>	<u>FY</u>	2015/16	<u>FY</u>	<u>2016/17</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Y2017/18</u>
Revenues Fees collected by the City Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total Revenues	\$ <u>\$</u>	9,596 <u>198</u> <b>9,794</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	17,091 <u>485</u> <b>17,576</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	20,993 <u>865</u> <b>21,858</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	9,304 <u>328</u> <b>9,632</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	29,737 <u>173</u> <b>29,910</b>
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	3,367	\$	4,699	\$	8,492	\$	6,295	\$	6,827
Total Expenditures	\$	3,367	\$	4,699	\$	8,492	\$	6,295	\$	6,827
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	6,427	\$	12,877	\$	13,366	\$	3,337	\$	23,083
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	49,856	\$	56,283	\$	69,160	\$	82,526	\$	85,863
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	56,283	\$	69,160	\$	82,526	\$	85,863	\$	108,946
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$	56,283	\$	69,160	\$	82,526	\$	85,863	\$	108,946

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

	Project Percent				Non-Ir	npact	
	Amount		Funded by	Impact Fee		Fe	e
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Expended		Impact Fees	Impact Fees Expenditure		Expen	ditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 6,827		100%	\$	6,827	\$	-

	Р	Project Percent		Project Percent			Non-Im	pact
	Ar	mount	Funded by	Impact Fee Fee				
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Exp	pended	Impact Fees	Expe	enditures	Expendi	itures	
Impact Fee Administration	\$	6,295	100%	\$	6,295	\$	-	

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	FY	2013/14	FY	2014/15	<u>FY</u>	2015/16	<u>FY</u>	2016/17	F١	<u> /2017/18</u>
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	9,794	\$	17,576	\$	21,858	\$	9,632	\$	29,910
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	10,919	\$	9,794	\$	17,576	\$	21,858	\$	9,632
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	12,012	\$	10,919	\$	9,794	\$	17,576	\$	21,858
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	12,720	\$	12,012	\$	10,919	\$	9,794	\$	17,576
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	10,588	\$	12,720	\$	12,012	\$	10,919	\$	9,794
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	250	\$	6,139	\$	10,367	\$	16,084	\$	20,175
Total Revenue Available	\$	56,283	\$	69,160	\$	82,526	\$	85,863	\$	108,946

Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match										
	FY 2	2013/14	FY	<u>2014/15</u>	FY	<u>2015/16</u>	FY	<u>2016/17</u>	FY:	<u>2017/18</u>
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	3,367	\$	4,699	\$	8,492	\$	6,295	\$	6,827
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	3,367	\$	4,699	\$	8,492	\$	6,295	\$	6,827

#### Elk Grove Fire Fee Program Fee Schedule for First Half of FY 2017/18 (from July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017)

Land Use Category	Zone 1 (Elk Grove)	Zone 2 (Laguna)	Zone 3 (Laguna West)	Zone 4 (Lakeside)	Zone 5 (Stonelake)	Unit of Measure
RESIDENTIAL Single Family (less than 3 units-includes duplexes) Multi-Family (3 or more units) Age-Restricted (single family and multi-family)	\$1,941 \$1,277 \$1,028	\$1,895 \$1,264 N/A	\$1,805 \$1,238 N/A	\$1,873 \$1,258 N/A	\$1,780 \$1,231 N/A	per unit per unit per unit
NON-RESIDENTIAL Commercial/Office	\$1.64	\$1.61	\$1.58	\$1.60	\$1.56	per building sqft per building
Industrial	\$0.54	\$0.53	\$0.49	\$0.51	\$0.49	sqft

Elk Grove Fire Fee Program Fee Schedule for Second Half of FY 2017/18 (from January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018)

Land Use Category	Zone 1 (Elk Grove)	Zone 2 (Laguna)	Zone 3 (Laguna West)	Zone 4 (Lakeside)	Zone 5 (Stonelake)	Unit of Measure
<b>RESIDENTIAL</b> Single Family (less than 3 units-includes duplexes) Multi-Family (3 or more units)	\$2,043 \$1,344 \$1,082	\$1,995 \$1,331 N/A	\$1,900 \$1,304 N/A	\$1,972 \$1,324 N/A	\$1,874 \$1,296 N/A	per unit per unit
Age-Restricted (single family and multi-family) <b>NON-RESIDENTIAL</b> Commercial/Office	\$1,082	\$1.69	\$1.66	\$1.68	\$1.64	per unit per building sqft
Industrial	\$0.56	\$0.55	\$0.51	\$0.53	\$0.51	per building sqft

January 1, 2018, rate increase was 5.29%, per the combined average of the October 2016 to October 2017 Engineering News Record – Construction Cost Index (ENR-CCI) San Francisco index and the ENR-CCI "20-City" Index.

## Measure A Transportation Mitigation Fee Program Overview

The Measure A Transportation Mitigation Fee Program was first adopted by the City Council in 2009 on behalf of the Sacramento Transportation Authority (STA). The Nexus Study identified the need for various regional transportation projects, including highway interchanges, traffic flow improvements, and light rail expansion. The Nexus Study, using 2006 dollars, identified \$1,406,571,000 in costs region-wide, with \$1,018,160,337 (31.3%) allocated to new development and which was included in the fee program, and \$2,235,016,879 (68.7%) allocated to existing development (existing deficiency). Funding for the existing deficiencies will come from gas tax, and other local, state, and federal funding.

The City of Elk Grove Measure A Transportation Mitigation Fee Program includes an additional 2% administrative fee which is used to fund administrative costs incurred by the City. The capital portion of the Elk Grove Measure A Transportation Mitigation Fee Program Fund is received by the City and funds are provided to STA on a quarterly basis.

Due to the regional nature of transportation impacts and the regional benefit of transportation improvements, it is likely that many projects utilizing Measure A Transportation Mitigation Fee Program funds collected by the City of Elk Grove will actually be located outside the City's boundaries. The relationship between the impacts and benefits of the development and those projects is identified in the Nexus Study.

## Required Findings

1. The purpose of the Measure A fee program is to provide new development's share of funding for regional transportation improvements facilities as required at build-out of the region.

2. The reasonable relationship between the Measure A fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the Sacramento Countywide Transportation Mitigation Fee Program *Nexus Study* dated June 7, 2006, effective April 1, 2009.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated necessary to complete the Measure A improvements are in the Sacramento Countywide Transportation Mitigation Fee Program *Nexus Study* dated June 7, 2006, effective April 1, 2009. The sources of funding for existing development's share is gas tax, and other local, state, and federal funding.

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing some new facilities is shown in the STA's 2009 Measure A Allocation Plan. The City's administrative expenditures are ongoing in the fee program and are included in the current City Budget.

## Measure A Transportation Mitigation Fee Program - Construction (Fund 711)

This countywide development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of regional transportation facilities. The City collects the fee and passes through all capital funds to the Sacramento Transportation Authority (STA). In addition, the City charges an additional 2% fee for administration.

Details on funds passed through to STA can be found in STA's Annual Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for the Sacramento County Transportation Mitigation Fee Program at www.sacta.org

City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$180,954	
Revenue Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$2,211,063 \$0	
Total Revenue	\$2,211,063	
		<u>% Fee</u> Funded in

Disbursements	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY18</u>
Passed through to the STA	\$2,330,656	100%
Total Expended Funds	\$2,330,656	
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$61,361	
Adjustment to Ending Balance*	(\$61,361)	
Adjusted City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$0	

\*Note: Adjustment to City's Ending Balance reflects final disbursement to STA after June 30, 2018.

#### City Administration (Fund 329 sub-account 02-06)

Fund 329 includes revenues to fund the City's administrative expenses for the Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program, Elk Grove Fire Fee Program and Measure A Transportation Fee Program as shown in this report. The expenses include but are not limited to fee collection and accounting. Sub-account 02-06 funds the Measure A Transportation Fee Program administrative expenses incurred by the City.

The total administrative fee is 2% of the base fee for this fee program which was recorded in Fund 711. As of March 2014 the admin fees will now be recorded in Fund 329 Sub-account 02-06.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$32,844	
Fees collected by the City Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total	\$36,384 <u>\$211</u> \$36,595	
		<u>% Fee Funded in</u>

		<u>70 1 00 1 anada in</u>
<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY18</u>
Impact Fee Administration	\$8,353	35%
Total	\$8,353	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$61,085	
		% Fee Funded in
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	FY19
Impact Fee Administration	\$7,484	35%

Page	44	of	82
------	----	----	----

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 329 sub-account 02-06 STA Measure A Fee Admin Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

<u>Description</u> Revenues	<u>FY</u>	<u>2013/14</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>′ 2014/15</u>	<u>FY</u>	2015/16	<u>FY</u>	2016/17	<u>FY</u>	<u>2017/18</u>
Fees collected by the City Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total Revenues	\$ <u>\$</u>	7,388 <u>152</u> <b>7,540</b>	\$ <u>\$</u> \$	17,044 <u>484</u> <b>17,528</b>	\$ \$ <b>\$</b>	19,163 <u>790</u> <b>19,953</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	7,950 <u>280</u> <b>8,230</b>	\$ <u>\$</u>	36,384 211 <b>36,595</b>
Expenditures Impact Fee Administration	<u>\$</u>	2,591	\$	4,686	\$	7,752	\$	5,378	\$	8,353
Total Expenditures	\$	2,591	\$	4,686	\$	7,752	\$	5,378	\$	8,353
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	4,949	\$	12,842	\$	12,201	\$	2,852	\$	28,242
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	-	\$	4,949	\$	17,791	\$	29,992	\$	32,844
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	4,949	\$	17,791	\$	29,992	\$	32,844	\$	61,085
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$	4,949	\$	17,791	\$	29,992	\$	32,844	\$	61,085

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

		Percent		
	Project	Funded by		Non-Impact
	Amount	Impact	Impact Fee	Fee
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Expended	Fees	Expenditures	Expenditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 8,353	100%	\$ 8,353	\$ -
		Percent		
	Project	Funded by		Non-Impact
	Amount	Impact	Impact Fee	Fee
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Expended	Fees	Expenditures	Expenditures

# FY 2016-2017 ProjectsExpendedFeesImpact Fee Administration\$ 5,378100%

\$ 5,378 \$

-

	FY :	<u>2013/14</u>	FY	2014/15	FY	<u>2015/16</u>	FY	2016/17	FY	<u>2017/18</u>
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	7,540	\$	17,528	\$	19,953	\$	8,230	\$	36,595
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	263	\$	10,039	\$	24,614	\$	8,230
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	16,260
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Revenue Available	\$	4,949	\$	17,791	\$	29,992	\$	32,844	\$	61,085

#### Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match

				•						
	FY	2013/14	FY	<u>2014/15</u>	FY	<u>2015/16</u>	FY	2016/17	FY:	<u>2017/18</u>
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	2,591	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	4,686	\$	7,489	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	263	\$	5,378	\$	8,353
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	2,591	\$	4,686	\$	7,752	\$	5,378	\$	8,353

Land Use Category		Total Trans	portation Fee			
RESIDENTIAL						
Single-Family (1-2 units-includes duplexes)	\$	1,242.00	/ unit			
Multifamily (3 or more units)	\$	993.00	/ unit			
Age-Restricted Single-Family	\$	869.00	/ unit			
Age-Restricted Multifamily	\$	745.00	/ unit			
NONRESIDENTIAL						
Office	\$	1.49	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Retail	\$	1.87	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Industrial	\$	0.99	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Hotel/Motel	\$	720.00	/ room			
Extended Stay Hotel/Motel	\$	640.00	/ room			
Golf Course	\$	1,034.00	/ acre			
Movie Theater	\$	2,364.00	/ screen			
Religious Center	\$	1.15	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Hospital	\$	2.08	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Service Station	\$	1,616.00	/ fueling pump			
Supermarket	\$	1.87	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Warehouse/Self Storage	\$	0.32	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Assisted Living	\$	358.00	/ bed			
Congregate Care	\$	263.00	/ unit			
Child Day Care	\$	571.00	/ student			
Private School (K-12)	\$	323.00	/ student			
Auto Repair/Body Shop	\$	1.87	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Gym/Fitness Center	\$	1.87	/ bldg sq. ft.			
Drive-through Car Wash	\$	1.87	/ bldg sq. ft.			
	Avera		trip generation rate			
All Other	NZ		<i>,</i> ,			
		Normalized cost per trip (\$128) Plus 2% admin Fee				

#### **MEASURE A TRANSPORTATION MITIGATION IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE FY17/18**

<u>Mixed Use Projects</u> - The amount of the fee shall be based on the predominate use of each building, which is defined as 80% or more of the total gross building square footage. If no one use comprises 80% or more of the total gross building square footage, then the amount of the fee shall be proportionally determined based on those uses that constitute 25% or more of the total gross building square footage. For mixed residential and non-residential development projects, the amount of the fee will be proportionally determined based on the number of dwelling units and the amount and type of non-residential gross building square footage.

Note: These fees were enacted beginning April 1, 2009. Included in the total fee is a 2% administrative fee for City administration costs as outline in Chapter 16.96 of the Elk Grove Municipal Code.

## Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Program Overview

The Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Program was first adopted by the City Council on September 13, 2017, along with the cities of Sacramento and West Sacramento, the State Department of Transportation ("CalTrans") and the Sacramento Area Council of Government (SACOG). The Nexus Study for the fee program identified the need for various regional transportation projects, including highway interchanges, traffic flow improvements, and light rail expansion. These improvements were also identified by CalTrans as necessary to the I-5 and Highway 99 corridors in order to accommodate future development within the region and its share of the total year 2036 delay on the State Highway System. The Nexus Study, using 2015 dollars, identified more than \$1.5 billion in needed transportation improvements region-wide, of which \$1.3 billion was unfunded before the I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Program. At adoption, the final amount to be funded by new development in the cities of West Sacramento, Sacramento, and Elk Grove, was \$135 million (10%), with Elk Grove's proportional share being \$42 million (31%). Funding for the existing deficiencies is proposed to come from future voter approved tax measures and other local, state, and federal funding sources.

The Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Program includes an additional 3% administrative fee which is used to fund administrative costs incurred by the City. The capital portion of the Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Program Fund is received by the City and used by the City to fund improvements identified in the Nexus Study. The relationship between the impacts and benefits of the development and those projects is identified in the Nexus Study.

## **Required Findings**

1. The purpose of the Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation fee program is to provide new development's share of funding for regional transportation improvements as required to mitigate its share of the total year 2036 delay on the State Highway System.

2. The reasonable relationship between the Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation fee program and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Program *Nexus Study* dated January 2016, effective November 27, 2017.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated necessary to complete the improvements are in the Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Program *Nexus Study* dated January 2016, effective November 27, 2017. The sources of funding proposed for existing development's share is future voter approved tax measures and other local, state, and federal funding.

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing some new facilities is shown in the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Community Strategy (MTP/SCS). The City's administrative expenditures are ongoing in the fee program and are included in the current City Budget. No activity or payment of the Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee has occurred as of June 30, 2018.

## Voluntary I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Program (Fund 365)

City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$0
Revenue	
Fees collected	\$0
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$0
Total Revenue	\$0

		<u>% Fee</u> Funded in
<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY18</u>
None	\$0	100%
Total Expended Funds	\$0	
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$0	

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 365 - I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Fee Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

<u>Description</u> Revenues	<u>FY 2</u>	2013/14	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>014/15</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>015/16</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>016/17</u>	<u>FY20</u>	017/18
Fees collected	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$ \$ \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Miscellaneous Reimbursement Total Revenues	<u>\$</u> \$		<u>\$</u> \$		<u>\$</u> \$		<u>\$</u> \$		<u>\$</u> \$	
Total Revenues	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
AB1600 Expenditures	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$ \$ <b>\$</b>	-	\$	-
Total Expenditures	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Polonuo Long Expandituras	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Revenue Less Expenditures Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	φ \$		ч \$	-	\$		φ \$	-	φ \$	
Fund Balance, End of Year	φ \$		ч \$	-	\$		\$ \$	-	φ \$	
Assigned Fund Balance	Ψ \$	-	\$	-	\$	_	Ψ \$	_	Ψ \$	-
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ \$	-
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
Capital Improvement Projects										
		roject	Pe	rcent			Non-	Impact		
		mount		led by	•	act Fee	F	ee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects		pended	<u>.</u>	t Fees		nditures		nditures	-	
Impact Fee Administration	\$	-	10	0%	\$	-	\$	-		
Total	\$	-			\$	-				
	Р	roject	Pe	rcent			Non-	Impact		
	A	nount	Fund	led by	Impa	act Fee	F	ee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Ex	pended		t Fees		nditures		nditures	-	
None	\$	-	10	0%	\$	-	\$	-		
Total	\$	-			\$	-				
Total	Ŧ									
Five Year Rev	enue Te	est Using	First in	First Ou	t Meth	od				
		est Using 2013/14		First Οι 014/15		od <u>015/16</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	<u>016/17</u>	<u>FY20</u>	017/18
		-					<u>FY 2</u> \$	<u>016/17</u> -	<u>FY20</u> \$	<u>)17/18</u> -
Five Year Rev	<u>FY :</u> \$ \$	-	<u>FY 2</u>		<u>FY 2</u>			<u>016/17</u> - -		0 <u>17/18</u> - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	<u>FY :</u> \$ \$ \$	-	<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$		<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	<u>016/17</u> - - -	\$ \$ \$	0 <u>17/18</u> - - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	<u>FY :</u> \$ \$ \$	-	<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$		<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	<u>016/17</u> - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$	0 <u>17/18</u> - - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	<u>FY :</u> \$ \$ \$ \$	-	<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	<u>016/17</u> - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0 <u>17/18</u> - - - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	<u>FY</u> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	016/17 - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0 <u>17/18</u> - - - - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	<u>FY :</u> \$ \$ \$ \$	-	<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		<u>FY 2</u> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	016/17 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	017/18 - - - - - - - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b>	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b>	2013/14 - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	<u>014/15</u> - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	016/17 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	017/18 - - - - - - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b>	<u>FY</u> : \$ \$ \$ <u>\$</u> <b>\$</b> ar Expe	2 <u>013/14</u> - - - - - - enditure t	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - - nue Mat	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Ch	015/16 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -
Five Year Rev Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available	<u>FY</u> : \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> ar Expe	2013/14 - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	<u>014/15</u> - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Ch		\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	<u>016/17</u> - - - - - - 016/17	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	017/18 - - - - - - 017/18
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Five Ye Expense Allocation Current Year	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe <u>FY :</u> \$	2 <u>013/14</u> - - - - - - enditure t	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ o Reve <u>FY 2</u> \$	014/15 - - - - - - - - nue Mat	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ch FY 2 \$	015/16 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY 2</b> \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY2(</b> \$	- - - - -
Five Year Reve Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Oreater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Five Ye Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe FY : \$ \$	2 <u>013/14</u> - - - - - - enditure t	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ o Reve FY 2 \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - - nue Mat	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ch FY 2 \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>FY 2</b> \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY2</b> ( \$ \$	- - - - -
Five Year Reverse Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Oreater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Five Ye Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe <u>FY :</u> \$	2 <u>013/14</u> - - - - - - enditure t	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ o Reve <u>FY 2</u> \$	014/15 - - - - - - - - nue Mat	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ch FY 2 \$	015/16 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY 2</b> \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY2(</b> \$	- - - - -
Five Year Rever Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years <b>Total Revenue Available</b> Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2 <u>013/14</u> - - - - - - enditure t	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>6</b> Reve FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - - nue Mat	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ch FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY2(</b> \$ \$ \$	- - - - -
Five Year Reverse Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Oreater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Five Ye Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2 <u>013/14</u> - - - - - - enditure t	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - - nue Mat	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ch FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -
Five Year Rever Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Oreater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2 <u>013/14</u> - - - - - - enditure t	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - - nue Mat	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ ch FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>FY 2</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY2(</b> \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -
Five Year Rev Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2013/14 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - 014/15 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - 015/16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>FY 2</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>FY2(</b> \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -
Five Year Reverses Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Orgenter than five Prior Fiscal years	FY : \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2013/14 - - - - - - - 2013/14 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - 014/15 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Ch FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - 015/16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>EY 2</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Five Year Rev Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Revenue Available Revenue Available Revense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures	FY : \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2013/14 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - 014/15 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - 015/16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>FY 2</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ <b>FY2</b> ( \$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>FY2</b> (	- - - - -
Five Year Rev Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Revenue Available	FY : \$ \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2013/14 - - - - - - - 2013/14 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - 014/15 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Ch FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - 015/16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>EY 2</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Five Year Rev Available Revenue Current Year Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Revenue Available Revenue Available Revenue Available Revense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures	FY : \$ \$ \$ ar Expe \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2013/14 - - - - - - - 2013/14 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	014/15 - - - - - - - 014/15 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	015/16 - - - - - - 015/16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ <b>FY 2</b> \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ <b>FY2</b> ( \$ \$ \$ \$ <b>\$</b> <b>FY2</b> (	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

City of Elk Grove (District 4)									
I-5 Subregional Corridor Mitigation Program (with Cost per DUE = \$2,821)									
	Land Uses	Units	DUE Rate	Ва	se Fee	Admi	n (3%)	-	Total
	Single-Family (1-2 units)		1.00	\$	2,821	\$	85	\$	2,906
	Single-Family Age Restricted	DU	0.39	\$	1,100	\$	33	\$	1,133
Residential	Single Family TOD		0.90	\$	2,539	\$	76	\$	2,615
Residentia	Multi-Family	20	0.62	•	1,749	\$	52	\$	1,801
	Multi-Family Age Restricted		0.32	\$	903	\$	27	\$	930
	Multi-Family TOD		0.46	\$	1,298	\$	39	\$	1,337
	Commercial		0.34		959	\$	29	\$	988
Commercial	Commercial TOD		0.32		903	\$	27	\$	930
	Car Sales		0.25		705	\$	21	\$	726
Office	Office		0.23		649	\$	19	\$	668
	Office TOD	1,000 Sq. Ft.	0.21	\$	592	\$	18	\$	610
Industrial	Industrial		0.16	\$	451	\$	14	\$	465
	Assembly Use		0.02	\$	56	\$	2	\$	58
Institutional	Day/Child Care		0.06	\$	169	\$	5	\$	174
	Private School		0.02	\$	56	\$	2	\$	58
	Congregate Care Facility	Bed	0.03	\$	85	\$	3	\$	88
	Health Club	1,000 Sq. Ft.	0.16	\$	451	\$	14	\$	465
Miscellaneous	Library	1,000 54.11.	0.05	\$	141	\$	4	\$	145
	Gas Station	Fuel Position	0.35	\$	987	\$	30	\$	1,017
	Hotel/Motel	Room	0.09	\$	254	\$	8	\$	262

#### Stonelake Park Fee Program Overview

The Stonelake Park Fee Program is a fee program inherited by the City. The last update occurred in 2001. There was a minor amendment to the timing of the annual inflation adjusted by the City Council in 2004. There have been no changes to the fee program rates since 2001 other than the annual inflation adjustment.

This fee program was originally adopted by the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors on behalf of the Cosumnes Community Services District (CSD). This is a plan area fee program and as such, only includes a portion of the City – the Laguna Stonelake development area.

The Nexus Study identified the need for new parks, a trail, and a fair share of a community center. The Nexus Study, using 2001 dollars, identified \$3.1 million to be included in the fee program to be 100% funded by new development. The 4% administrative component costs are in addition to the \$3.1 million and are included in a separate account within the Stonelake Park Fee Program Fund. The Stonelake Park Fee Program Fund is received by the City and funds are provided to the CSD on a quarterly basis.

#### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the park fee program is to provide new development's share of funding for park facilities as required at build-out of the Laguna Stonelake development area.

2. The reasonable relationship between the park fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *Laguna Stonelake Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Impact Fee Program Update* dated July 31, 2007.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing the park facilities are in the Laguna Stonelake Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Impact Fee Program Update dated July 31, 2007.

## Stonelake Park Fee (Fund 717)

This plan area development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of park and recreational facilities to serve the Stonelake area.

Total Fee (adj. for inflation in 2017)			
Land Use	2017 Rates	2018 Rates	
Single Family	\$3,082 per unit	\$3,245 per unit	
Multi-Family	\$36,060 per acre	\$37,967 per acre	
Comm./Office	\$7,706 per acre	\$8,113 per acre	
Travel Comm.	\$9,246 per acre	\$9,736 per acre	
Industrial	\$10,787 per acre	\$11,358 per acre	

January 1, 2018, rate increase was 5.29%, per the combined average of the October 2016 to October 2017 Engineering News Record – Construction Cost Index (ENR-CCI) San Francisco index and the ENR-CCI "20-City" Index.

	City
City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$0
<u>Revenue</u> No fees collected during the fiscal year Total Revenue	<u>\$0</u> \$0
<u>Disbursements</u> No disbursements during the fiscal year Total Expended Funds	<u>\$0</u> \$0
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$0

Note: The following information is provided by the Consumnes Community Services District. The City does not audit or verify the information provided.

	CSD	
CSD's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$3,096	
Revenue Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$26	
Total Revenue	\$26	
<u>Disbursements</u>	Amount	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
Administration	\$0	100%
Total Expended Funds	\$0	
CSD's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$3,122	
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	Amount	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
There are no projects planned for FY 2018/19	\$0	100%

## Lakeside and Laguna West Park Fee Program Overview

The Lakeside and Laguna West Park Fee Program is a fee program that the City inherited. The last update occurred in 1994. This fee program was originally adopted by the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors on behalf of the Cosumnes Community Services District (CSD). This is a plan area fee program and as such, only includes a portion of the City – the Lakeside and Laguna West development areas. This is one fee program with two benefit areas and two separate funds.

The Nexus Study identified the need for new parks and a fair share of a community center. The Nexus Study, using 1994 dollars, identified \$1,568,201 in construction costs. The fee program included \$1,061,737 of those costs and the remaining \$506,464 was to be funded by the Community Facilities District for that area. The \$20 per permit administrative component cost is over and above the fee program construction cost and is included in separate accounts within the Lakeside and Laguna West Park Fee Program Funds. These funds are received by the City and funds are provided to the CSD on a quarterly basis.

#### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the park fee program is to provide new development's share of funding for park facilities as required at build-out of the Lakeside and Laguna West development areas.

2. The reasonable relationship between the park fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the Laguna West / Lakeside Park Development Fee Analysis dated 1994.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete the park facilities are in the Laguna West / Lakeside Park Development Fee Analysis dated 1994.

4. CSD is responsible for determining the projects for construction in these areas.

## Lakeside Park Fee Program (Fund 704)

This plan area development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of park and recreational facilities to serve the Lakeside area.

Lakeside Park Fee Schedule - Effective December 15, 2003 through Current

Land Use	Total Fee
Single Family	\$247 per unit + \$20 per permit
Multi-Family (RD-20 or greater)	\$124 per unit + \$20 per permit
Commercial, Industrial, Office	\$0.05 per sqft + \$20 per permit

	City
City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$0
<u>Revenue</u> No fees collected during the fiscal year Total Revenue	<u>\$0</u> \$0
<u>Disbursements</u> No disbursements during the fiscal year Total Expended Funds	<u>Amount</u> <u>\$0</u> \$0
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$0

Note: The CSD no longer administers the Lakeside Park Fee program and has no financials to provide.

## Laguna West Park Fee (Fund 715)

This plan area development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of park and recreational facilities to serve the Laguna West area.

Laguna West Park Fee Schedule - Effective December 15, 2003 to Current

Land Use	Total Fee
Single Family	\$169 per unit + \$20 per permit
Multi-Family (RD-20 or greater)	\$85 per unit + \$20 per permit
Commercial, Industrial, Office	\$0.02 per sqft + \$20 per permit

	City
City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$0
<u>Revenue</u> No fees collected during the fiscal year Total Revenue	\$0\$0
<u>Disbursements</u> No disbursements during the fiscal year Total Expended Funds	\$0 \$0
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$0

Note: The following information is provided by the Consumnes Community Services District. The City does not audit or verify the information provided.

	CSD
CSD's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$126,849
<u>Revenue</u> Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Total Revenue	\$2,201 \$2,201
<u>Disbursements</u> No disbursements during the fiscal year Total Expended Funds	<u>Amount</u> \$0 \$0
CSD's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$129,050
Planned Elk Grove CSD Projects for 2018/19 There are no projects planned for FY 2018/19	<u>Amount</u> \$0

## Laguna Ridge Park Fee Program Overview

The Laguna Ridge Park Fee Program was first adopted by the City Council in 2007. Updates since 2007 have only included the annual inflation adjustment. The fee program includes a 3% administrative fee which is included in a separate account within the Laguna Ridge Park Fee Program Fund. This is a plan area fee program and as such, only includes a portion of the City – the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan area and the Lent Ranch SPA.

The Nexus Study identified the need for a new community park (the Civic Center community park) and a fair share contribution toward a sports park (Bartholomew Sports Park). The estimated cost of the new facilities, including 36.8 acres of the 44.8 acre community park, along with financing costs for the community center, were included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$17.5 million in 2007 dollars, less some existing interim fee revenues meant \$17.4 million was included in the fee program. The Nexus Study identifies 13.54% of the base fee collected would go to the Sports Park and 100% of the fee program is funded by new development.

#### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the park fee program is to provide new development's share of funding for park facilities as listed in the Nexus Study.

2. The reasonable relationship between the park fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Park Fee Program Nexus Study* dated September 26, 2007, effective December 11, 2007.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated necessary to complete the park facilities are in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Park Fee Program Nexus Study* dated September 26, 2007, effective December 11, 2007.

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing the new community park is partially included in the City's 2018-2023 Capital Improvement Program.

## Laguna Ridge Park Fee (Fund 360)

This plan area development impact fee program funds new development's fair share of the Civic Center Park to serve the Laguna Ridge area, and also provides a fair share contribution toward the Bartholomew Sports Park located in East Franklin.

Total Fee (adj. for inflation in 2018)			
Land Use	2017 Rates	2018 Rates	
Single Family	\$3,297 per unit	\$3,471 per unit	
Multi-Family	\$2,199 per unit	\$2,314 per unit	
Age-Restricted	\$1,887 per unit	\$1,987 per unit	
Commercial	\$0.25 per sqft	\$0.26 per sqft	
Office	\$0.41 per sqft	\$0.43 per sqft	
Industrial	\$0.11 per sqft	\$0.11 per sqft	

January 1, 2018, rate increase was 5.29%, per the combined average of the October 2016 to October 2017 Engineering News Record – Construction Cost Index (ENR-CCI) San Francisco index and the ENR-CCI "20-City" Index.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$7,753,598	
Fees collected	\$668,748	
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$22,161	
Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$0	
Total	\$690,908	
		<u>% Fee Funded in</u>
FY17/18 Disbursements	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY18</u>
Bartholomew Park CCSD Reimbursement	\$73,546	100%
Impact Fee Administration	\$89,534	100%
Total	\$163,080	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$8,281,426	
		% Fee Funded in
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	FY19
Civic Center Aquatics WCC002	\$2,800,000	28%
Civic Center Comm. Center WCC010	<u>\$7,200,000</u>	34%
Total	\$10,000,000	

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 360 - Laguna Ridge Park Fee Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Description	E	Y 2013/14	E	Y 2014/15	E	Y 2015/16	E	<u>Y 2016/17</u>	E	Y2017/18
Revenues Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Miscellaneous Reimbursement Total Revenues	\$ <del>\$</del> \$	951,177 34,592 - <b>985,769</b>	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,422,526 54,775 <u>453</u> <b>1,477,754</b>	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,813,222 113,316 <u>12,133</u> <b>1,938,671</b>	\$ \$ <b>\$</b>	541,402 32,342 <u>170</u> <b>573,914</b>	\$\$ \$\$ \$ \$	668,748 22,161 - <b>690,908</b>
Expenditures Impact Fee Administration AB1600 Expenditures	\$ \$	12,786 -	\$\$	13,223 687,964	\$\$	17,116 573,436	\$	65,148 149,859	\$\$	89,534 73,546
Total Expenditures	\$	12,786	\$	701,188	\$	590,552	\$	215,007	\$	163,080
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	972,983	\$	776,566	\$	1,348,119	\$	358,907	\$	527,828
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	4,297,022	\$	5,270,005	\$	6,046,571	\$	7,394,690	\$	7,753,598
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	5,270,005	\$	6,046,571	\$	7,394,690	\$	7,753,598	\$	8,281,426
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$	5,270,005	\$	6,046,571	\$	7,394,690	\$	7,753,598	\$	8,281,426

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

	Project	Percent		Non-Impact
	Amount	Funded by	Impact Fee	Fee
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Expended	Impact Fees	Expenditures	Expenditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 89,534	100%	\$ 89,534	\$ -
Bartholomew Park CCSD Reimbursement	\$ 73,546	100%	\$ 73,546	\$-
Total	\$ 163,080		\$ 163,080	
	Project	Percent		Non-Impact
	Amount	Funded by	Impact Fee	Fee
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Expended	Impact Fees	Expenditures	Expenditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$ 65,148	100%	\$ 65,148	\$-
Civic Center Veterans Hall & Parking WCC011	\$ 10,329	100%	\$ 10,329	\$-
Civic Center Common Area WCC024	\$ 1,070,294	2%	\$ 19,529	\$ 1,050,765
Bartholomew Park CCSD Reimbursement	\$ 120,000	100%	\$ 120,000	\$ -
Total	\$ 1,265,772		\$ 215,007	

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	E	Y 2013/14	E	Y 2014/15	E	Y 2015/16	E	Y 2016/17	E	Y2017/18
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	985,769	\$	1,477,754	\$	1,938,671	\$	573,914	\$	690,908
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	793,587	\$	985,769	\$	1,477,754	\$	1,938,671	\$	573,914
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	659,767	\$	793,587	\$	985,769	\$	1,477,754	\$	1,938,671
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	964,607	\$	659,767	\$	793,587	\$	985,769	\$	1,477,754
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	654,395	\$	964,607	\$	659,767	\$	793,587	\$	985,769
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	1,211,880	\$	1,165,087	\$	1,539,143	\$	1,983,903	\$	2,614,410
Total Revenue Available	\$	5,270,005	\$	6,046,571	\$	7,394,690	\$	7,753,598	\$	8,281,426

#### Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match

	FY	2013/14	<u>F</u>	<u>( 2014/15</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>′ 2015/16</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>( 2016/17</u>	<u>F`</u>	<u>/2017/18</u>
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	12,786	\$	701,188	\$	590,552	\$	215,007	\$	163,080
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	12,786	\$	701,188	\$	590,552	\$	215,007	\$	163,080

Adopted 2018-	2023 Capital Imp	rovement Prog	gram (CIP)		
	FY2018/19	FY2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY2021/22	FY2022/23
Civic Center - Aquatics Center WCC002	\$ 2,800,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Civic Center - Senior/Community Center WCC010	\$ 7,200,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total	\$ 10,000,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

## East Franklin Fee Program Overview (four fee components)

The East Franklin Fee Program was first adopted in 2001 under the name Laguna South Fee Program. The fee program was updated numerous times between 2002 and 2005. The remaining four fee components to what is now called the East Franklin Fee Program were updated in 2005 and updates since then have only included the annual inflation adjustment. This is a plan area fee program and as such, only includes a portion of the City – the East Franklin Specific Plan area. Each of the four components to this fee program and an overview of each are provided below.

## 1. East Franklin Fee Program - Park Facilities (Fund 707)

The City adopted this fee program component on behalf of the Cosumnes Community Services District (CSD). The 2005 Park Fee Nexus Study identified the need for new park facilities, trails, the Ehrhardt House Restoration, a community center, and a fair share contribution toward the Bartholomew Sports Park, including financing for the latter. The Nexus Study, using 2005 dollars, identified \$40.4 million in costs, with \$14 million in remaining facilities to be included in the fee program (there were also existing fee funds available). Existing development's share of the cost (the existing deficiency) must be funded with CSD in-lieu fees for park land, lighting and landscape district funds, or CSD other funding sources.

The East Franklin Park Fee Program includes an administrative fee built into the base fee for the CSD, and a 2% administrative fee to the City. The Park Fee Program Fund is received by the City and funds are provided to the CSD on a quarterly basis. The City's 2% administrative fee is included in a separate account and is retained by the City to cover the administrative costs associated with the fee program.

## Required Findings

1. The purpose of the park fee component of the East Franklin Fee Program is to provide new development's share of funding for park facilities as required at build-out of the East Franklin Specific Plan area.

2. The reasonable relationship between the park fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove East Franklin Park Fee Update Nexus Study* dated April 4, 2005, effective March 23, 2005.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing the park facilities are in the *City of Elk Grove East Franklin Park Fee Update Nexus Study* dated April 4, 2005, effective March 23, 2005 (shown in 2005 dollars). The sources of funding existing development's share are CSD in-lieu fees for park land, lighting and landscape district funds, and/or CSD other funding sources.

4. The approximate dates for funding and constructing new park facilities are currently outlined in the CSD's 2018-2023 Capital Improvement Program.

## East Franklin Fee Program - Total of Four Components

## 1. East Franklin Fee Program - Park Facilities (Fund 707)

This plan area development impact fee program component funds new development's fair share of park/recreational facilities serving this area. Revenues are retained by the City, while the CSD administrative costs were built into the park facilities cost estimates.

~ .

	City	
City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$197,900	
Revenue Fees collected by the City Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$442,020 (4,420)	
Total Revenue	\$437,600	
<u>Disbursements</u> Passed through to the Cosumnes CSD Total Expended Funds	<u>Amount</u> \$269,289 \$269,289	<u>% Fee Funded</u> in FY18 100%
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 Adjustment to Ending Balance* Adjusted City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$366,211 <u>(\$366,211)</u> \$0	

\*Note: Adjustment to City's Ending Balance reflects final disbursement to CSD after June 30, 2018.

Note: The following information is provided by the Cosumnes Community Services District. The City does not audit or verify the information provided.

OODIa Danianian Dalamaa Julu 4, 0047	CSD	
CSD's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$5,162,123	
Revenue		
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$60,904	
Passed through to the Cosumnes CSD	\$439,014	
Total Revenue	\$499,918	
<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
George Park Construction	\$1,832,159	
Administration	\$51,621	100%
Total Expended Funds	\$1,883,780	
CSD's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$3,778,261	
Planned Elk Grove CSD Projects for 2018/19 Page 60 of 82	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee Funded</u>

Morse Park Community Center\$105,000Total Planned Projects for FY 2018/19\$105,000

## 2. East Franklin Fee Program - Landscape Corridor (Fund 324)

The 2005 Nexus Study identified the need for new landscape corridors. The estimated cost of these new facilities were included in the Nexus Study and totaled \$18.6 million in 2003 dollars, which were escalated by inflation factors for the 2005 Nexus Study, and have since been escalated by the annual inflation adjustment. The Nexus Study identified new development's share of these costs at 100%. This has been a reimbursement, or "equalization" fee program (developers build the corridors and obtain reimbursements from the fee program). There is a 3% administrative component included with this portion of the fee program. Due to accrual of a fund balance adequate to fund required drainage infrastructure as defined in the *East Franklin Landscape Corridor and Supplemental Drainage Fee Program Nexus Study* dated April 7, 2005, effective June 27, 2005, the City has ceased collection of this fee beginning Fiscal Year 2010/11.

#### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the landscape corridor fee component of the East Franklin Fee Program is to provide new development's share of funding for these facilities as required at build-out of the East Franklin Specific Plan area.

2. The reasonable relationship between the landscape corridor fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *East Franklin Landscape Corridor and Supplemental Drainage Fee Program Nexus Study* dated April 7, 2005, effective June 27, 2005.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing the landscape corridors are in the *East Franklin Landscape Corridor and Supplemental Drainage Fee Program Nexus Study* dated April 7, 2005, effective June 27, 2005.

4. The approximate dates for funding and constructing new landscape corridor facilities are currently outlined in the City's 2018-2023 Capital Improvement Program.

This plan area development impact fee program component funds landscape corridor facilities serving this area.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$7,280,985
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$0 \$2.458
Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$0
Total	\$2,458

		<u>% Fee Funded in</u>
FY17/18 Disbursements	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY18</u>
Gilliam Dr Trial Connector PT0124	\$210,019	100%
Whitelock Pkwy - Shed B Planting WFL001	\$2,200	100%
SCWA Frontage Landscaping WFL003	\$4,702	100%

Shed A Channel Landscape WFL007	\$14,053	100%
Franklin Creek Ped Bridge Lighting WFL013	\$1,667	100%
Impact Fee Administration	\$16,164	100%
Total	\$248,804	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$7,034,639	

		<u>% Fee Funded in</u>
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>FY19</u>
Whitelock Pkwy - Shed B Tree Planting WFL001	\$60,353	96%
SCWA Frontage Landscaping WFL003	\$597,250	100%
Drainage Shed A Channel Landscaping WFL007	\$ <u>864,249</u>	100%
Total Planned Projects for FY 2018/19	\$1,521,852	

		City of El	k G	rove						
				scape Corri				_		
Statement of Revenues and Expen Description		ures and Cha TY 2013/14	-	es in Fund B FY 2014/15		nce for Last Y 2015/16		e Fiscal Years 'Y 2016/17		Y2017/18
Revenues	-	1 2010/14	-	1 201-4/10	-	1 2010/10	-	2010/11	-	12011/10
Fees collected	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$ \$	72,938 2,532	\$ \$	100,075 3,008	\$ \$	114,642 6,731	\$ \$	(69,835) 1,428	\$ \$ \$	2,458
Total Revenues	\$	75,470	\$	103,083	\$	121,373	\$	(68,407)	\$	2,458
Expenditures				-						-
Impact Fee Administration	\$	5,628	\$	51,904	\$	16,621	\$	5,457	\$	16,164
Interfund Loan Repayment	\$ \$	- 106,877	\$ \$	- 130,288	\$ \$	2,407,549 1,115,552	\$ \$	- 134,053	\$	232,641
AB1600 Expenditures Total Expenditures	\$	112,504	<u>\$</u>	182,192	\$	3,539,723	\$	139,510	\$	248,804
Revenue Less Expenditures	÷ \$	(37,034)	\$	(79,109)	\$	(3,418,350)	\$	(207,917)	\$	(246,346)
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year		11,023,395	\$	10,986,361		10,907,252	\$	7,488,902	\$	7,280,985
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	10,986,361	\$	10,907,252	\$	7,488,902	\$	7,280,985	\$	7,034,639
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Fund Balance	\$	10,986,361	\$	10,907,252	\$	7,488,902	\$	7,280,985	\$	7,034,639
Capital Improvement Projects		Duciest		Developet			NI-			
FY 2017-2018 Projects		Project Amount		Percent Funded by		mpact Fee xpenditures		n-Impact Fee xpenditures		
Gilliam Dr Trial Connector PT0124	\$	210,019		100%	<u> </u>	210,019	\$	-		
Whitelock Pkway Ch. B Planting WFL001	\$	2,200		100%	\$	2,200	\$	-		
SCWA Frontage Landscaping WFL003	\$	4,702		100%	\$	4,702	\$	-		
Shed A Channel Landscape WFL007	\$	14,053		100%	\$	14,053	\$	-		
Franklin Creek Ped Bridge Lighting WFL013	\$	1,667		100%	\$	1,667	\$	-		
Impact Fee Administration	<u>\$</u>	16,164		100%	<u>\$</u>	16,164	\$	-		
Total	\$	248,804		100%	\$	248,804	\$	-		
		Amount		Funded by		mpact Fee		n-Impact Fee		
<u>FY 2016-2017 Projects</u> Gilliam Dr Trial Connector PT0124	\$	Expended 51,974	In	npact Fees 100%	<u>E</u> :	xpenditures 51,974	E:	xpenditures		
Whitelock Pkway Ch. B Planting WFL001	э \$	16,894		54%	э \$	9,157	э \$	- 7,737		
SCWA Frontage Landscaping WFL003	\$	6,962		100%	\$	6,962	\$	-		
Franklin Creek Ped Bridge Lighting WFL013	\$	62,363		100%	\$	62,363	\$	-		
Impact Fee Administration	\$	9,053		100%	\$	9,053	\$	-		
Total	\$	147,246		100%	\$	139,509				
Five Year Re	ve	nue TestUsi	ng F	First in First O	Dut	Method				
		Y 2013/14		Y 2014/15		Y 2015/16	_	Y 2016/17	-	Y2017/18
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	75,470	\$	103,083	\$	121,373	\$	(68,407)	\$	2,458
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$ \$	84,269	\$ \$	75,470	\$	103,083	\$ \$	121,373	\$	(68,407)
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	э \$	58,955 135,338	э \$	84,269 58,955	\$ \$	75,470 84,269	ъ \$	103,083 75,470	\$ \$	121,373 103,083
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	271,726	\$	135,338	\$	58,955	\$	84,269	\$	75,470
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	10,360,603	\$	10,450,137	\$	7,045,752	\$	6,965,197	\$	6,800,662
Total Revenue Available	\$	10,986,361	\$	10,907,252	\$	7,488,902	\$	7,280,985	\$	7,034,639
Five Y				Revenue M						
		Y 2013/14		Y 2014/15		Y 2015/16		Y 2016/17		Y2017/18
Expense Allocation Current Year Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$ ¢	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)		-	ъ \$	-	ъ \$	-	ֆ \$	-	ъ \$	-
		-	у \$	-	φ \$	-	գ Տ	-	э \$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-								0.40,00.4
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years		112,504	\$	182,192	\$	3,539,723	\$	139,510	\$	248,804
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)			\$ \$	182,192 <b>182,192</b>	\$ \$	3,539,723 <b>3,539,723</b>	\$ \$	139,510 <b>139,510</b>	\$ \$	248,804 248,804
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$ \$	112,504 <b>112,504</b>	\$ npr	182,192 ovement Pro	\$	3,539,723	\$	139,510		
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures Adopted 2018	\$ \$ 3-20	<u>112,504</u> <b>112,504</b> 023 Capital In FY2018/19	\$ npr <u> </u>	182,192 ovement Prc FY2019/20	\$ ogra <u>F</u>	3,539,723	\$ 		\$ <u>F</u>	
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures Adopted 2018 Whitelock Pkwy - Shed B Planting WFL001	\$ \$ 3-20 \$	<u>112,504</u> <b>112,504</b> <b>23 Capital In</b> <u>FY2018/19</u> 60,353	\$ mpr <u> </u> \$	182,192 ovement Pro	\$ ogra <u>F</u> \$	3,539,723 am (CIP)	\$ 	139,510	\$ <u>F</u> \$	248,804
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures Adopted 2018 Whitelock Pkwy - Shed B Planting WFL001 SCWA Frontage Landscaping WFL003	\$ <b>\$</b> 3-20 \$ \$	<u>112,504</u> 112,504 )23 Capital In <u>FY2018/19</u> 60,353 597,250	\$ mpr <u> </u> \$ \$	182,192 ovement Prc FY2019/20	\$ ogra <u>F</u> \$ \$	3,539,723 im (CIP) Y 2020/21	\$ \$ \$	139,510 	\$ 	248,804
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures Adopted 2018 Whitelock Pkwy - Shed B Planting WFL001 SCWA Frontage Landscaping WFL003 Drainage Shed A WFL007	\$ 3-20 \$ \$ \$ \$	<u>112,504</u> <b>112,504</b> <b>23 Capital In</b> <u>FY2018/19</u> 60,353	\$ mpr <u> </u> \$ \$ \$	182,192 ovement Prc FY2019/20	\$ ogra <u>F</u> \$ \$ \$	3,539,723 im (CIP) Y 2020/21	\$ \$ \$ \$	139,510 	\$ \$ \$ \$	248,804 
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds) Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years Total Annual Expenditures Adopted 2018 Whitelock Pkwy - Shed B Planting WFL001 SCWA Frontage Landscaping WFL003	\$ <b>\$</b> 3-20 \$ \$	<u>112,504</u> 112,504 )23 Capital In <u>FY2018/19</u> 60,353 597,250	\$ mpr <u> </u> \$ \$	182,192 ovement Prc FY2019/20	\$ ogra <u>F</u> \$ \$	3,539,723 im (CIP) Y 2020/21	\$ \$ \$	139,510 	\$ 	248,804

## 4. East Franklin Fee Program - Administration (Fund 326)

The 2005 Nexus Studies (one Nexus Study for the Park Fee component and another Nexus Study for the Landscape Corridor and Supplemental Drainage Fee components) identified the need for fee program administration. The estimated cost is 2% of the fees collected in the Park Fee component and 3% of the Landscape Corridor and Supplemental Drainage Fee components.

#### Required Findings

1. The purpose of the administration fee component of the East Franklin Fee Program is to provide new development's share of funding for fee program administration, including fee collection, fee updates, annual reporting, etc.

2. The reasonable relationship between the administrative fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove East Franklin Park Fee Update Nexus Study* dated April 4, 2005, effective March 23, 2005 and in the *East Franklin Landscape Corridor and Supplemental Drainage Fee Program Nexus Study* dated April 7, 2005, effective June 27, 2005.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete administration of the fee program are in the *City of Elk Grove East Franklin Park Fee Update Nexus Study* dated April 4, 2005, effective March 23, 2005 and in the *East Franklin Landscape Corridor and Supplemental Drainage Fee Program Nexus Study* dated April 7, 2005, effective June 27, 2005.

4. Administrative expenditures are ongoing in the fee program and are included in the current Budget.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$251,304
Fees collected	\$120,700
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	<u>\$14,776</u>
Total	\$135,476

<u>Disbursements</u>	Amount	% Fee Funded in FY18
Impact Fee Administration	\$6,038	100%
Total	\$6,038	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$380,742	
		% Fee Funded
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	Amount	in FY19
Impact Fee Administration	\$66,318	100%

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 326 - E. Franklin Admin Fee Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

<u>Description</u> Revenues	<u>F)</u>	<u> </u>	<u>F)</u>	<u> 2014/15</u>	<u>F</u>	<u> 2015/16</u>	<u>F)</u>	<u>( 2016/17</u>	<u>F</u>	<u> Y2017/18</u>
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Other revenues	\$ \$ \$	16,358 979	\$ \$ \$	9,364 1,305	\$ \$ \$	2,472 64,558	\$ \$ \$	9,393 55,873	\$ \$ \$	120,700 14,776
Total Revenues	\$	17,337	<u>\$</u>	10,669	\$	67,030	<u>\$</u>	65,266	<u>\$</u>	135,476
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	59	\$	1,085	\$	3,430	\$	18,317	\$	6,038
AB1600 Expenditures	\$	15,696	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Expenditures	\$	15,755	\$	1,085	\$	3,430	\$	18,317	\$	6,038
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	1,582	\$	9,584	\$	63,600	\$	46,949	\$	129,439
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	129,589	\$	131,171	\$	140,755	\$	204,355	\$	251,304
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	131,171	\$	140,755	\$	204,355	\$	251,304	\$	380,742
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Fund Balance	\$	131,171	\$	140,755	\$	204,355	\$	251,304	\$	380,742

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

	Project Pe		Percent			Non-Im	pact
	Amount		Funded by Impact Fee		pact Fee	Fee	•
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Exp	pended	Impact Fees	Exp	penditures	Expendi	tures
Impact Fee Administration	\$	6,038	100%	\$	6,038	\$	-

	F	Project	Percent			Non-Imp	act
	A	mount	Funded by	Imp	act Fee	Fee	
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Ex	pended	Impact Fees	Exp	enditures	Expendit	ures
Impact Fee Administration	\$	18,317	100%	\$	18,317	\$	-

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	FΥ	2013/14	ΕY	2014/15	F١	2015/16	ΕY	2016/17	F١	(2017/18	
Augilahla Daugana Quinant Visan	<u></u>										
Available Revenue Current Year	Ф	17,337	\$	10,669	\$	67,030	\$	65,266	\$	135,476	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	28,157	\$	17,337	\$	10,669	\$	67,030	\$	65,266	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	10,892	\$	28,157	\$	17,337	\$	10,669	\$	67,030	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	4,244	\$	10,892	\$	28,157	\$	17,337	\$	10,669	
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	7,028	\$	4,244	\$	10,892	\$	28,157	\$	17,337	
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	63,513	\$	69,456	\$	70,270	\$	62,845	\$	84,964	
Total Revenue Available	\$	131,171	\$	140,755	\$	204,355	\$	251,304	\$	380,742	

#### Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match

	FY	2013/14	FY	2014/15	<u>FY</u>	<u>2015/16</u>	FY	2016/17	<u>FY</u>	2017/18
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal year	\$	15,755	\$	1,085	\$	3,430	\$	18,317	\$	6,038
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	15,755	\$	1,085	\$	3,430	\$	18,317	\$	6,038

	Base Fee	2% Adm. Fee	
	7070000-2060101	3260000-3250200	Total
Land Use Category	(Pass-through to CSD)	(City Retained)	Park Fee
RESIDENTIAL	Per unit	Per unit	Per unit
Single-Family (1-2 units-includes duplexes)	\$ 7,697	\$ 154	\$7,851
Multifamily (3 or more units)	\$ 5,132	\$ 103	\$5,235
Age-Restricted (single-family and multifamily)	\$ 4,399	\$88	\$4,487
		5	Per bldg sq.
NONRESIDENTIAL	Per bldg sq. ft.	Per bldg sq. ft.	ft.
Commercial	0.26	0.01	\$0.27
Office	0.44	0.01	\$0.45
Industrial	0.13	0.01	\$0.14
INSTITUTIONAL			
Religious Institution			Exempt
Day/Child Care (pre-school and adults too)	0.26	0.01	\$0.27
Private School	0.26	0.01	\$0.27
MISCELLANEOUS			
Hotel/Motel	0.26	0.01	\$0.27
Congregate Care Facility	0.26	0.01	\$0.27
Health Club	0.26	0.01	\$0.27
Library	0.20		Exempt
			Exempt

# East Franklin Park Facilities Fee Schedule for First Half of FY 2017/18 (July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017)

		Base Fee		Adm. Fee 260000-	
	70	70000-2060101		250200	Total
Land Use Category	(Pas	Pass-through to CSD)		Retained)	Park Fee
	,		. ,	,	
RESIDENTIAL		Per unit	F	Per unit	Per unit
Single-Family (1-2 units-includes duplexes)	\$	8,104	\$	162	\$8,266
Multifamily (3 or more units)	\$	5,404	\$	108	\$5,512
Age-Restricted (single-family and multifamily)	\$	4,631	\$	93	\$4,724
					Per bldg sq.
NONRESIDENTIAL		Per bldg sq. ft.		bldg sq. ft.	ft.
Commercial	\$	0.27	\$	0.01	\$0.28
Office	\$	0.46	\$	0.01	\$0.47
Industrial	\$	0.14	\$	0.01	\$0.15
INSTITUTIONAL					
Religious Institution					Exempt
Day/Child Care (pre-school and adults too)	\$	0.27	\$	0.01	\$0.28
Private School	\$	0.27	\$	0.01	\$0.28
MISCELLANEOUS					
Hotel/Motel	\$	0.27	\$	0.01	\$0.28
Congregate Care Facility	\$	0.27	\$	0.01	\$0.28
Health Club	\$	0.27	\$	0.01	\$0.28
Library					Exempt

# East Franklin Park Facilities Fee Schedule for Second Half of FY 2017/18 (January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018)

January 1, 2018, rate increase was 5.29%, per the combined average of the October 2016 to October 2017 Engineering News Record – Construction Cost Index (ENR-CCI) San Francisco index and the ENR-CCI "20-City" Index.

## Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program Overview

The Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program was first adopted by the City Council in 2004 on behalf of the Cosumnes Community Services District (CSD). This was the successor park fee program to the County implemented Elk Grove/West Vineyard Park Fee Program. This is a plan area fee program and as such, only includes a portion of the City – all areas of the City east of State Route 99. The Nexus Study identified the need for new park related facilities including parks, trails, a community center, a swimming pool, a fair share contribution toward the Bartholomew Sports Park, and financing for the later. The Nexus Study, using *2004 dollars*, identified \$39.8 million in costs with \$29.4 million (74%) allocated to new development and was included in the fee program, and \$10.3 million (26%) allocated to existing development (existing deficiency). Funding for the existing deficiencies will come from CSD in-lieu fees for park land, lighting and landscape district funds or CSD other funding sources.

The Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program includes a 3% administrative fee which is divided equally between the CSD and the City. The CSD's half of the administrative fee is included in a separate account within the Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program Fund. The Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program Fund is received by the City and funds are provided to the CSD on a quarterly basis. The City's half of the administrative fee is included in a separate account and is retained by the City to cover the administrative costs associated with this fee program.

#### **Required Findings**

1. The purpose of the park fee program is to provide new development's share of funding for park facilities as required at build-out of the eastern portion of the City.

2. The reasonable relationship between the park fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *Elk Grove Community Services District Eastern Elk Grove Park and Fire Facilities Fee Nexus Study* dated March 26, 2004, effective June 7, 2004.

3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing the park facilities are in the *Elk Grove Community Services District Eastern Elk Grove Park and Fire Facilities Fee Nexus Study* dated March 26, 2004, effective June 7, 2004. The sources of funding existing development's share is CSD in-lieu fees for park land, lighting and landscape district funds, and/or CSD other funding sources.

4. The approximate date for funding and constructing some new facilities is shown in the CSD's *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program. The City's administrative expenditures are ongoing in the fee program and are included in the current City Budget.

## Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program (Fund 702)

This plan area development impact fee program component funds new development's fair share of park/recreational facilities serving this area. The administrative revenues are split with the Cosumnes CSD.

		. for inflation in
	20	18)
Land Use	2017 Rates	2018 Rates
Single Family (less than 3 units)	\$6,280 per unit	\$6,612 per unit
Multi-Family (3 or more units)	\$4,188 per unit	\$4,410 per unit
Age-Restricted (single/multi-family)	\$4,082 per unit	\$4,298 per unit
Commercial	\$0.99 per sqft	\$1.04 per sq. ft.
Office	\$1.44 per sqft	\$1.51 per sq. ft.
Industrial	\$0.49 per sqft	\$0.51 per sq. ft.
	City	
City's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$48,948	
Revenue		
	•	

Program fees collected	\$2,099,937
CSD Administrative fees collected	\$23,962
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	(\$6,519)
Total Revenue	\$2,117,380

Disbursements	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
Passed through to the Cosumnes CSD	\$1,617,959	<u>in FY18</u>
Total Expended Funds	\$1,617,959	100%
City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018 Adjustment to Ending Balance* Adjusted City's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$548,369 (\$548,369) \$0	

\*Note: Adjustment to City's Ending Balance reflects final disbursement to CSD after June 30, 2018.

## Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program (Fund 702)

Continued from previous page:

Note: The following information is provided by the Cosumnes Community Services District. The City does not audit or verify the information provided.

	CSD	
CSD's Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$4,530,769	
Revenue Passed through to the Cosumnes CSD	\$1,669,787	
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$55,340	
Total Revenue	\$1,725,127	
Disbursements	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
Administration	\$45,308	100%
Wright Park - Phase II	\$996	100%
Total Expended Funds	\$46,304	
CSD's Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$6,209,592	
Planned Cosumnes CSD Projects for 2018/19 Wright Park - Phase II	<u>Amount</u> \$104,000	<u>% Fee Funded</u> 100%

## City Administration (Fund 329 sub-account 02-02)

Fund 329 includes revenues to fund the City's administrative expenses for the Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program, Elk Grove Fire Fee Program and Measure A Transportation Fee Program as shown in this report. The expenses include but are not limited to fee collection and accounting. Sub-account 02-02 funds administrative expenses for the Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Program (also funded the fire fee portion of this fee program when it was in effect).

The total administrative fee is 3% of the base fee for this fee program which is split between the City and the Elk Grove CSD.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$49,767
Fees collected by the City	\$38,796
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$225

Total	\$39,022	
<u>Disbursements</u> Impact Fee Administration Total	<u>Amount</u> \$8,907 \$8,907	<u>% Fee Funded</u> in FY18 37%
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$79,881	
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19 Impact Fee Administration	<u>Amount</u> \$6,117	<u>% Fee Funded</u> <u>in FY19</u> 37%

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 329 sub-account 02-02 CSD Eastern Elk Grove Park Fee Admin Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

<u>Description</u> Revenues	<u>FY</u>	2013/14	<u>FY</u>	2014/15	<u>FY</u>	2015/16	<u>FY</u>	2016/17	<u>F</u>	<u>′2017/18</u>
Fees collected by the City	\$	15,428	\$	4,941	\$	2,645	\$	3,488	\$	38,796
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$	319	\$	140	\$	109	\$	123	\$	225
Total Revenues	\$	15,747	\$	5,081	\$	2,754	\$	3,611	\$	39,022
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	5,412	\$	1,358	\$	1,070	\$	2,360	\$	8,907
Total Expenditures	\$	5,412	\$	1,358	\$	1,070	\$	2,360	\$	8,907
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	10,335	\$	3,723	\$	1,684	\$	1,251	\$	30,114
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	32,774	\$	43,109	\$	46,832	\$	48,516	\$	49,767
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	43,109	\$	46,832	\$	48,516	\$	49,767	\$	79,881
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$	43,109	\$	46,832	\$	48,516	\$	49,767	\$	79,881
Capital Improvement Projects										

		oject	Percent		. =	Non-Imp Fee	
	An	nount	Funded by	Funded by Impact Fee			
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Exp	ended	Impact Fees	Expe	nditures	Expendit	ures
Impact Fee Administration	\$	8,907	100%	\$	8,907	\$	-

	Project		Percent			Non-Im	pact
	A	mount	Funded by	Imp	act Fee	Fee	•
FY 2016-2017 Projects	Exp	pended	Impact Fees	Expe	enditures	Expendi	tures
Impact Fee Administration	\$	2,360	100%	\$	2,360	\$	-

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	FY	<u>2013/14</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>2014/15</u>	FY	<u>2015/16</u>	FY	<u>2016/17</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>′2017/18</u>
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	15,747	\$	5,081	\$	2,754	\$	3,611	\$	39,022
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	886	\$	15,747	\$	5,081	\$	2,754	\$	3,611
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	2,544	\$	886	\$	15,747	\$	5,081	\$	2,754
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	1,859	\$	2,544	\$	886	\$	15,747	\$	5,081
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	2,984	\$	1,859	\$	2,544	\$	886	\$	15,747
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	19,089	\$	20,715	\$	21,504	\$	21,688	\$	13,667
Total Revenue Available	\$	43,109	\$	46,832	\$	48,516	\$	49,767	\$	79,881

#### Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match

	FY	2013/14	FY	2014/15	<u>FY</u>	<u>2015/16</u>	<u>FY</u>	2016/17	<u>FY</u>	<u>2017/18</u>
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	5,412	\$	1,358	\$	1,070	\$	2,360	\$	8,907
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	5,412	\$	1,358	\$	1,070	\$	2,360	\$	8,907

## Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Overview

The Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program was first adopted by the City Council in 2011. This fee program is in addition to the existing Laguna Ridge Park Fee Program adopted by the City in 2007 and it includes three components: facilities, land, and program administration. The fees are applied to development that has not constructed parks or dedicated parkland.

## LRSP Supplemental Park Land Fee (Fund 331)

The Nexus Study identified the need for parkland to provide for community, local and neighborhood park facilities, and parkways development. The Land component will fund the acquisition and equalization of parkland dedications by providing funding to reimburse those developers that have dedicated parkland in excess of their fair-share. Parkland in an amount equal to 5.0 acres per 1,000 residents is excluded from the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program and actual dedication of land or payment of a parkland in-lieu fee at final map approval will be required. The total net amount of parkland is estimated at 61.02 acres and the cost is estimated at \$9.0 million in 2011 dollars. There are no existing deficiencies as current needs for park lands are met by current parklands.

There currently are no projects programmed in this Fund through the adopted 2018-2023 Capital Improvements Program (CIP) 5-year forecast. This is due to the need to accumulate sufficient funds first before programming further project expenditures. For revenues collected in the near-term, the first funding priority will be reimbursement of existing agreements that delivered park land to the City. Revenues collected further in the future are not programmed yet, but will be used to acquire additional park land.

## **Required Findings**

- 1. The purpose of the Land component of the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee is to fund land acquisition for developing community, local, and neighborhood park and parkway facilities to serve future residents and employees within the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan area.
- 2. The reasonable relationship between the Land fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Nexus Study, dated October 13, 2011, effective November 13, 2011.*
- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated necessary to complete the land acquisition for community, local and neighborhood park facilities, and parkways development are in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Nexus Study, dated October 13, 2011, effective November 13, 2011* (shown in 2011 dollars).
- 4. The approximate date for funding and acquiring land for development is not known as sufficient funds have not been collected to commence project and the timing of

the adjacent residential development is not controlled by the City. Therefore, the land acquisition is a longer term planned project for the City.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	(\$2,519,888)
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$1,077,871 \$0 \$150,200
Total	\$1,228,071

		<u>% Fee Funded</u>
<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY18</u>
Project Management	\$2,458	100%
Developer Reimbursement	\$98,583	
Total	\$101,041	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	(\$1,392,849)	
Adjustment to Ending Balance	<u>\$1,392,849</u>	
Adjusted Ending Balance as of June 30, 2018	\$0	

Note: July 1, 2017 Beginning Fund Balance is negative owing to outstanding developer credit liability balances. June 30, 2018 Ending Fund Balance is \$0 owing to payment to developer of all revenues received in FY2017-18 pursuant to settlement agreement with the developer plus the adjustment to ending balance representing all other outstanding credit reimbursement balances.

Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>
There are no projects planned for FY 18/19	\$0

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 331 - LSRP Public Land Acquisition Fee Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

Description	F	Y 2013/14	F	Y 2014/15	F	Y 2015/16	F	Y 2016/17	F	- Y2017/18
Revenues	-	1 2010/14	-	1 201-11 10	-	1 2010/10	-	1 2010/11	-	12011/10
Fees collected	\$	530,597	\$	1,601,224	\$	1,868,249	\$	643,097	\$	1,077,871
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$	699	\$	5,327	\$	2,730	\$	-	\$	-
Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$	(86,000)	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	309	\$	163,399	\$	150,200
Total Revenues	\$	445,296	\$	1,606,551	\$	1,871,288	\$	806,496	\$	1,228,071
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	69	\$	12,591	\$	184	\$	245,373	\$	2,458
Developer Reimbursement	\$ \$ \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ \$	795,478	\$	98,583
AB1600 Expenditures	⊅ \$	(400)	\$	40.504	<u>\$</u>		- <u>+</u> -	-	<u>\$</u>	-
Total Expenditures		(331)	\$	12,591	\$	184	\$	1,040,851	\$	101,041
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	445,627	\$	1,593,960	\$	1,871,104	\$	(234,355)	\$	1,127,030
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	(6,196,224)		(5,750,597)		(4,156,637)	\$	(2,285,532)		(2,519,888)
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	(5,750,597)				(2,285,532)		(2,519,888)		
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	2,361,643		1,442,582	\$	1,442,582	\$	2,238,060		1,392,857
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$	(3,388,954)	\$	(2,714,055)	\$	(842,950)	\$	(281,828)	\$	-
Capital Improvement Projects				_			_			
		Project	_	Percent	-	_	Ν	Ion-Impact		
	-	Amount		unded by		mpact Fee	_	Fee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects		Expended	In	npact Fees		kpenditures		xpenditures		
Impact Fee Administration	\$	2,458		100% 100%	\$	2,458	\$ \$	-		
Developer Fee Reimbursement	\$	98,583		100%	\$	98,583	φ	-		
Total	\$	101,041			\$	101,041				
		Project		Percent			Ν	Ion-Impact		
		Amount	F	unded by	I	mpact Fee		Fee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects		Expended	In	npact Fees	E	kpenditures	E	xpenditures		
Impact Fee Administration	\$	245,373		100%	\$	245,373	\$	-		
Developer Fee Reimbursement	\$	795,478		100%	\$	795,478	\$	-		
Total	\$	1,040,851			\$	1,040,851				
Five Year Rever	nne		Firs	t in First Ou	t M	lethod				
		Y 2013/14		Y 2014/15		Y 2015/16	F	Y 2016/17	F	Y2017/18
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	445,296	\$	1,606,551	\$	1,871,288	\$	806,496	\$	1,228,071
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Revenue Available	\$	(3,388,954)	\$	(2,714,055)	\$	(842,950)	\$	(281,828)	\$	-
					<b>. h</b>					
Five fear		penditure to Y 2013/14		evenue wat Y 2014/15		Y 2015/16		Y 2016/17		Y2017/18
Expense Allocation Current Year	<u>-</u> \$	- 2013/14	<u></u>		<u>n</u> \$		<u>1</u> \$		<u>1</u> 2	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)		-	φ \$	12,591	φ \$	184	φ \$	1,040,851	\$	101,041
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)		-	\$	-	ŝ	-	ŝ	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)		-	\$	-	φ \$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	(331)		12,591	\$	184	\$	1,040,851	\$	101,041
·	22.4	. ,		-						
Adopted 2017-20		Capital Impi FY2018/19		ement Prog FY2019/20		n (CIP) Y 2020/21	F	Y2021/22	F	Y2022/23
No Projects Programmed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total	¢		\$		<u>*</u>		÷		¢	
	ψ		Ψ		ψ		ψ		Ψ	

#### LRSP Supplemental Park Facilities Fee (Fund 332)

The Nexus Study identified the need for community, local and neighborhood park facilities, and parkways development. The total cost of the facilities component is estimated at \$53.6 million in 2011 dollars. There are no existing deficiencies as current facilities needs are met by current facilities.

The Laguna Ridge Specific Plan (LRSP) Supplemental Park Land Fee provides a mechanism for collection and reimbursement of fees related to park facility construction in the LRSP. Facilities eligible for reimbursement through this program include neighborhood, local and portions of community parks. All LRSP Supplemental Parks facilities are eligible for funding from this source.

#### Required Findings

- 1. The purpose of the Facilities component of the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee is to fund community, local, and neighborhood park and parkway facilities to serve future residents and employees within the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan area.
- 2. The reasonable relationship between the Facilities fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Nexus Study, dated October 13, 2011, effective November 13, 2011.*
- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated completing the community, local and neighborhood park facilities, and parkways development are in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Nexus Study, dated October 13, 2011, effective November 13, 2011* (shown in 2011 dollars).
- 4. The approximate date for funding and constructing some new facilities is shown in the *2018-2023* Capital Improvement Program. Some of the facilities do not yet appear in the CIP as sufficient funds have not been collected to commence the projects. Therefore, some are longer term planned projects for the City.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$8,262,008
Fees collected	\$1,823,141
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$0
Advance Interest	<u>\$2,602</u>
Total	\$1,825,743

		<u>% Fee Funded</u>
FY17/18 Disbursements	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY18</u>
Project Management	\$375,742	<u>100%</u>
Oasis Park WCE008	\$10,414	100%
Madeira East Central Park WCE010	\$180,000	100%
Reardan Park Site Demo WCE027	<u>\$10,296</u>	100%

Total	\$576,452
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$9,511,299
Adjustment to Ending Balance	<u>\$455,687</u>
Adjusted Ending Balance as of June 30, 2018	\$9,966,985

		<u>% Fee Funded</u>
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY19</u>
Oasis Park WCE008	\$10,656,492	100%
Singh and Kaur WCE010	\$150,500	100%
Poppy West Park WCE011	\$140,500	100%
Laguna Ridge Park Engineering WCEA03	\$25,000	100%
Reardan Park Site Demo WCE027	\$101,704	100%
Total Planned Projects for FY 2018/19	\$11,064,196	

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 332 - LSRP Park Facilities Fee Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

<u>Description</u> Revenues	<u>FY 2013/14</u>	<u>FY 2014/15</u>	<u>FY 2015/16</u>	<u>FY 2016/17</u>	FY2017/18
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss Miscellaneous Reimbursement	\$ 1,842,415 \$  31,175 \$  7,693	\$ 3,754,472 \$ 56,080 \$ 4,742	\$ 4,104,958 \$ 20,983 \$ 4,474	\$  1,537,956 \$	\$ 1,823,141 \$ 2,602
Total Revenues	\$ 1,881,283	\$ 3,815,294	\$ 4,130,415	\$ 1,560,448	\$ 1,825,743
Expenditures Impact Fee Administration Developer Reimbursement AB1600 Expenditures Total Expenditures	\$ 1,904 \$ - \$ 1,617,104 <b>\$ 1,619,008</b>	\$ 25,709 \$ - \$ 1,005,256 <b>\$ 1,030,965</b>	\$ 53,170 \$ - \$ 4,066,845 <b>\$ 4,120,015</b>	\$ 74,476 \$ 653,256 \$ 1,147,167 <b>\$ 1,874,900</b>	\$ 375,742 <u>\$ 200,711</u> <b>\$ 576,453</b>
Revenue Less Expenditures Fund Balance, Beginning of Year Fund Balance, End of Year Assigned Fund Balance <b>[1]</b> Adjusted Available Fund Balance	<ul> <li>\$ 262,275</li> <li>\$ 5,519,456</li> <li>\$ 5,781,731</li> <li>\$ 2,242,853</li> <li>\$ 8,024,584</li> </ul>	\$ 2,784,329 \$ 5,781,731 \$ 8,566,060 \$ 1,485,621 \$ 10,051,681	<ul> <li>\$ 10,400</li> <li>\$ 8,566,060</li> <li>\$ 8,576,460</li> <li>\$ 1,485,621</li> <li>\$ 10,062,081</li> </ul>	\$ (314,451) \$ 8,576,460 \$ 8,262,008 \$ 653,256 \$ 8,915,264	<ul> <li>\$ 1,249,290</li> <li>\$ 8,262,008</li> <li>\$ 9,511,299</li> <li>\$ 455,687</li> <li>\$ 9,966,985</li> </ul>

#### Capital Improvement Projects

[1] Includes Loan Receivables and/or Active Outstanding Fee Credits

	Project Percent Amount Funded by			In	npact Fee	Non-Impa Fee		
FY 2017-2018 Projects		xpended	Impact Fees		penditures		ditures	
Impact Fee Administration	\$	375,742	100%	\$	375,742	\$	-	
Oasis Park Phase I WCE008	\$	10,414	100%	\$	10,414	\$	-	
Madeira East Central Park WCE010	\$	180,000	100%	\$	180,000			
Reardan Park Site Demo WCE027	\$	10,296	100%	\$	10,296	\$	-	
Total	\$	576,452		\$	576,452			
		Project	Percent			Non-I	mpact	
	Amount		mount Funded by		npact Fee	Fee		
FY 2016-2017 Projects	E	xpended	Impact Fees	Ex	penditures	Expen	ditures	
Impact Fee Administration	\$	74,476	100%	\$	74,476	\$	-	
Island Park WCE002	\$	35,240	100%	\$	35,240	\$	-	
Horseshoe Park WCE004	\$	441,681	100%	\$	441,681	\$	-	
Porto Park WCE007	\$	670,000	100%	\$	670,000	\$	-	
Oasis Park Phase I WCE008	\$	247	100%	\$	247	\$	-	
Developer Reimbursement	\$	653,256	100%	\$	653,256	\$	-	
Total	\$	1,874,900		\$	1,874,900			

## LRSP Supplemental Park Facilities Fee (Fund 332) (cont.)

Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method												
	FY 2013/14	<u>FY 2014/15</u>	FY 2015/16	FY 2016/17	FY2017/18							
Available Revenue Current Year	\$ 1,881,283	\$ 3,815,294	\$ 4,130,415	\$ 1,560,448	\$ 1,825,743							
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$ 1,560,169	\$ 1,881,283	\$ 3,815,294	\$ 4,130,415	\$ 1,560,448							
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$ 4,583,132	\$ 1,560,169	\$ 1,881,283	\$ 3,224,401	\$ 4,130,415							
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$-	\$ 2,794,935	\$ 235,089	\$-	\$ 2,450,379							
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -							
Total Revenue Available	\$ 8,024,584	\$10,051,681	\$ 10,062,081	\$ 8,915,264	\$ 9,966,985							
Five Year Expenditure to Revenue Match												
	FY 2013/14	FY 2014/15	FY 2015/16	FY 2016/17	FY2017/18							
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$ 1,619,008	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$-	\$ 1,030,965	\$ 1,325,080	\$ 1,639,811	\$ 576,453							
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,794,935	\$ 235,089	\$-							
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Total Annual Expenditures	\$ 1,619,008	\$ 1,030,965	\$ 4,120,015	\$ 1,874,900	1 \$ 576,453							
Adopted 2018-20	)23 Capital Im	provement Pro	ogram (CIP)									
	FY2018/19	FY2019/20	FY 2020/21	FY2021/22	FY2022/23							
Oasis Park WCE008	\$10,646,492	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Singh and Kaur Park WCE010	\$ 150,500	\$ 1,685,000	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Poppy West Park WCE011	\$ 140,500	\$-	\$ 1,403,000	\$-	\$-							
Sun Grove Park WCE012	\$-	\$ 50,500	\$ 520,000	\$-	\$-							
Madeira East - South Park WCE013	\$-	\$ 131,000	\$ 1,180,000	\$-	\$-							
Poppy East Park WCE014	\$-	\$-	\$ 156,000	\$-	\$ 1,560,000							
Tuscan Neighborhood Park WCE015	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 511,600	\$-							
Laguna Ridge Park Engineering WCEA03	\$ 25,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-							
Reardan Park Site Demolition WCE027	\$ 101,704	\$-	<u>\$-</u>	\$-	<u>\$ -</u>							
Total	\$11,064,196	\$ 1,866,500	\$ 3,259,000	\$ 511,600	\$ 1,560,000							

## Laguna Ridge Supplemental Parks Admin Fee (Fund 333)

The Nexus Study identified the need for fee program administration. The program administration component is a 2.0% add-on fee that will reimburse the City for costs associated with administering the Supplemental Park Fee Program.

## **Required Findings**

The purpose of the Facilities component of the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee is to fund community, local, and neighborhood park and parkway facilities to serve future residents and employees within the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan area.

- 1. The purpose of the Fee Program Administration component of the Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee is to provide new development's share of funding for fee program administration, including fee collection, fee updates, annual reporting, etc.
- 2. The reasonable relationship between the Fee Program Administration fee and the purpose for which it is charged is demonstrated in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Nexus Study, dated October 13, 2011, effective November 13, 2011.*
- 3. The sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete administration of the fee program are in the *City of Elk Grove Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Nexus Study, dated October 13, 2011, effective November 13, 2011* (shown in 2011 dollars).

Administrative expenditures are ongoing in the fee program and are included in the current budget.

Beginning Balance, July 1, 2017	\$486,854	
Fees collected	\$44,644	
Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$24,756	
Total	\$69,400	
	_	<u>% Fee Funded</u>
<u>Disbursements</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>in FY18</u>
Impact Fee Administration	\$53,785	100%
LRSP Supplemental Parks Nexus Update	\$6,226	100%
Total	\$60,012	
Ending Balance, June 30, 2018	\$496,243	
Planned Projects for Fiscal Year 2018/19	Amount	<u>% Fee Funded</u> in FY19
	Amount	
LRSP Supplemental Parks Nexus Update	\$50,000	100%

#### City of Elk Grove FUND: 333 - LSRP Park Admin Fee Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance for Last Five Fiscal Years

<u>Description</u> Revenues	<u>FY</u>	<u>2013/14</u>	<u>F`</u>	<u>Y 2014/15</u>	<u>F`</u>	<u> </u>	<u>F</u>	<u> </u>	<u>F</u> `	<u>Y2017/18</u>
Fees collected Interest earned/Mkt. Gain/Loss	\$	48,072 187	\$ ¢	107,223 1,469	\$ \$	119,551 73,070	\$ \$	43,621 103,956	\$ \$	44,644 24,756
Total Revenues	<u>⊅</u> \$	48,259	<u>⊅</u> \$	108,692	<u>⊅</u> \$	192,621	<u>\$</u>	147,577	<u>\$</u>	<u>69,400</u>
Expenditures										
Impact Fee Administration	\$	38,605	\$	10,407	\$	16,241	\$	29,219	\$	53,785
AB1600 Expenditures	<u>&gt;</u>	-	<u>&gt;</u>	-	<u>&gt;</u>	-	<u></u>	5,500	<u>\$</u>	6,226
Total Expenditures	\$	38,605	\$	10,407	\$	16,241	\$	34,719	\$	60,012
Revenue Less Expenditures	\$	9,654	\$	98,285	\$	176,380	\$	112,858	\$	9,389
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	\$	89,677	\$	99,331	\$	197,616	\$	373,996	\$	486,854
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	99,331	\$	197,616	\$	373,996	\$	486,854	\$	496,243
Assigned Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Adjusted Available Fund Balance	\$	99,331	\$	197,616	\$	373,996	\$	486,854	\$	496,243

#### **Capital Improvement Projects**

	F	Project	Percent			Non-In	npact
	А	mount	Funded by	Imp	oact Fee	Fe	e
FY 2017-2018 Projects	Expended Impact Fees			Exp	enditures	Expend	ditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$	53,785	100%	\$	53,785	\$	-
Nexus Study Update	\$	6,226	100%	\$	6,226	\$	-
Total	\$	60,012		\$	60,012		
	F	Project	Percent			Non-Ir	nnact

		Project	Percent			Non-	Impact
	A	Amount	Funded by	Imp	oact Fee	F	ee
FY 2016-2017 Projects		kpended	Impact Fees	Fees Expenditures			nditures
Impact Fee Administration	\$	29,219	100%	\$	29,219	\$	-
Nexus Study Update	\$	5,500	100%	\$	5,500		
Total	\$	34,719		\$	34,719		

#### Five Year Revenue Test Using First in First Out Method

	FY 2013/14		FY 2014/15		F١	<u> 2015/16</u>	F١	<u> 2016/17</u>	F	<u>Y2017/18</u>
Available Revenue Current Year	\$	48,259	\$	108,692	\$	192,621	\$	147,577	\$	69,400
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	15,837	\$	48,259	\$	108,692	\$	192,621	\$	147,577
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	35,235	\$	15,837	\$	48,259	\$	108,692	\$	192,621
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	24,828	\$	15,837	\$	37,964	\$	86,644
Available Revenue Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	8,587	\$	-	\$	-
Available Revenue Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Revenue Available	\$	99,331	\$	197,616	\$	373,996	\$	486,854	\$	496,243

Five Year E	xpe	nditure to	Rev	enue Mat	ch					
	<u>FY 2013/14</u>		<u>FY 2014/15</u>		<u>FY 2015/16</u>		FY 2016/17		<u>FY2017/18</u>	
Expense Allocation Current Year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (2-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (3-yr Old Funds)	\$	38,605	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (4-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	10,407	\$	-	\$	10,295	\$	22,048
Expense Allocation Prior Fiscal Year (5-yr Old Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	16,241	\$	15,837	\$	37,964
Expense Allocation Greater than five Prior Fiscal years	: \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	8,587	\$	-
Total Annual Expenditures	\$	38,605	\$	10,407	\$	16,241	\$	34,719	\$	60,012

Land Use Category		Zone 1	Z	Zone 2	Zone 3		
RESIDENTIAL	F	Per Unit	P	er Unit	Pe	Per Unit	
Single-Family (1-2 units-includes duplexes)	\$	16,145	\$	9,553	\$	-	
Multifamily (3 or more units, > RD-15 and above)	\$	10,265	\$	6,370	\$	-	
Age-Restricted (single and multifamily)	\$	8,801	\$	5,460	\$	-	
NONRESIDENTIAL	Pe	r Sq. Ft.	Per Sq. Ft.		Per	Sq. Ft.	
Commercial	\$	0.26	\$	0.26	\$	-	
Office	\$	0.44	\$	0.44	\$	-	

#### Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program First Half of FY 2017/18 (July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017)

#### Laguna Ridge Specific Plan Supplemental Park Fee Program Second Half of FY 2017/18 (January 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018)

Land Use Category		Zone 1		Zone 2	Zone 3	
RESIDENTIAL			Pe	Per Unit		
Single-Family (1-2 units-includes duplexes)	\$	15,046	\$	10,058	\$	-
Multifamily (3 or more units, > RD-15 and above)	\$	10,032	\$	6,707	\$	-
Age-Restricted (single and multifamily)	\$	8,601	\$	5,749	\$	-
NONRESIDENTIAL	Pe	r Sq. Ft.	Ре	r Sq. Ft.	Per Sq. Ft.	
Commercial	\$	0.27	\$	0.27	\$	-
Office	\$	0.46	\$	0.46	\$	-